

Large time behaviour for the semigroup of the kinetic Brownian motion in the plane

Marc Arnaudon¹, Magalie Bénéfice², Michel Bonnefont¹, and Delphine Féral¹

¹*Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, IMB, UMR 5251, Talence, F-33400, France*

²*Université de Lorraine, CNRS, IECL, F-54000, Nancy, France*

July 23, 2026

Abstract

We establish an integration by parts formula for the semigroup in time $T > 0$ of the kinetic Brownian motion in the Euclidean plane together with its velocity in the circle. The stochastic differential equation of our kinetic Brownian motion is driven here by one real-valued Brownian motion constructed from an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and an independent sequence of $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables. Our method is based on an explicit computation of a Malliavin dual in the Gaussian space. We are mainly interested in large time T . From our integration by parts, we obtain gradient estimates including a reverse Poincaré inequality for the semigroup. As a direct consequence, we also obtain a Liouville property for the generator of the kinetic Brownian motion and its speed: all bounded harmonic functions are constant.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	The context of our study	2
1.2	Organisation and main results	4
2	Presentation of the model and Malliavin calculus	6
2.1	The model on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and its lift on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$	6
2.2	The tangent flow	7
2.3	Malliavin derivative and integration by parts formula	7
2.4	The infinitesimal control problem and the Malliavin matrix	9
2.5	Malliavin calculus in a basis and computation of the dual	10

3	Stochastic oscillating integrals	18
4	Integrability and convergence of the dual	27
4.1	Asymptotic behaviour of the Malliavin matrix	28
4.2	Moments convergence of the renormalised matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$	29
4.3	Proofs of Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5	33
4.4	Application to the dual	38
5	Gradient estimates of the kinetic Brownian motion semigroup via Gaussian-space integration by parts	40

1 Introduction

1.1 The context of our study

In this paper, we are interested in regularisation properties for some hypoelliptic diffusions. We shall focus on the simple model of the kinetic Brownian motion on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ and more specifically on its long-time behaviour. This kinetic Brownian motion is the process whose velocity is given by a Brownian motion on the circle $\mathbb{S}$. We are interested in the full process given by the velocity and the position. It is thus a process on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ but it can also be lifted to a process on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

One interest of the kinetic Brownian motion is that, with a suitable 1-parameter family of renormalisations, it provides an interpolation between the geodesic flow and the Brownian motion on the manifold; see e.g. Angst, Bailleul and Tardif [1].

Moreover, for our concern, it is non linear and quite degenerate since there is only a 1-dimensional noise acting on the velocity and thus it is necessary to use brackets of step three with the drift to obtain the Hörmander condition.

A powerful tool to obtain the desired regularisation properties is the Bismut-Elworthy-Li derivative formula for the semigroup. Our goal is thus to obtain such a formula and to investigate its long-time behaviour. It is well known that this formula may be obtained through the Malliavin calculus and the Malliavin covariance matrix. The general idea consists in solving an infinitesimal control problem to transfer the tangent flow into a Malliavin derivative and then perform the integration by parts formula using the Malliavin dual. In the elliptic setting, it can be analysed via the Bakry-Émery curvature tensor. But in the degenerate case, since the notion of curvature is a delicate question, the general existing formulae established using this approach are less explicit and difficult to analyse, see e.g. Arnaudon and Thalmaier [3] Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 7.1. In order to obtain explicit results, such a method has been analysed case by case, see for example Wang and Zhang [34] for some classes of hypoelliptic processes admitting linear parts into the drift and Wang [33] for Grushin operators. Malliavin calculus has also been used by Kusuoka [22] to deal with some larger classes than hypoelliptic diffusions for small times.

We also refer to Üstünel for Malliavin calculus for martingale representation of degenerate diffusions [31] with application to some Log-Sobolev inequalities [32].

A closely related approach is to use a coupling and Girsanov theorem. The coupling is again obtained through the resolution of an associated control problem. In this sense, the Malliavin approach may be seen as an infinitesimal version of the coupling approach; see (2.4) and (2.5) below for more precision. This coupling method has been implemented to deal with the kinetic Fokker-Planck diffusion in Guillin and Wang [15] and with the sub-elliptic Brownian motion on the free step 2 Carnot groups by the authors in a previous work [2]. The control problem is somehow difficult since one has to couple both the position and the velocity (or the planar Brownian motions and their Lévy areas) at the same time.

Let us briefly recall some related methods and results about successful and efficient couplings in hypoelliptic and sub-elliptic situations. In these cases, regularisation properties are obtained by studying the tail distribution of the first meeting times of two processes starting from different points. It started with Ben Arous, Cranston and Kendall [8] and Kendall [19, 20] who constructed successful co-adapted couplings. A main progress was then made by Kendall and Banerjee [5] and then by Banerjee, Gordina and Mariano in [4] who used the Karhunen-Loève expansion of the Brownian bridge to construct efficient (finite look-ahead) non-co-adapted successful couplings for Kolmogorov diffusions and Brownian motions on the Heisenberg group; see also Bénéfice [9, 10] and Luo and Neel [28] for generalisations.

Note that, in [2], in addition to the integration by parts formula, the authors perform an efficient non-co-adapted coupling working only at a given time T . An important point in the construction is the expansion of the driving Brownian motions in a well chosen orthogonal basis of $L^2([0, T])$. Similar ideas also appear in the present work to compute the Malliavin dual.

A difficulty to deal with the kinetic Brownian motion comes from the fact that the position is obtained through a non linear integral of the noise. Up to our knowledge, long-time behaviour of the Euclidean kinetic Brownian motion has not been investigated, contrarily to the small time, for which Perruchaud [29] gives asymptotics of the heat kernel for our precise model. Small time asymptotics are also present in the related works of Menozzi [13] (where step 2 kinetic processes are considered). Concerning, the long-time behaviour of the kinetic Brownian motion, Baudoin and Tardif [7] obtained an exponential convergence under the assumption of a Poincaré inequality for the underlying manifold (see also the improvements on the exponential rate by Kolb, Weich, Wolf [21] and Ren, Tao [30]). In [6], Baudoin, Gordina and Mariano used a coupling method for obtaining other gradient inequalities for general Kolmogorov diffusions including kinetic Brownian motion.

After renormalisation in time and space of the kinetic Brownian motion, our model enters in the field of homogenisation. Much more complex models have been investigated in Li [23, 24, 25], Gehring and Li [14], Hairer and Li [17], Li and Sieber [26], leading to various convergence results to limit processes, including speed of convergence. In our context, convergence results are obtained in Section 3. Notice the important fact that, when doing the rescaling, the vector field governing the so-called fast motion has a

vanishing integral in the circle. As explained in [23], this induces a second order scaling involving a martingale term in the limit. In this situation, the rate of convergence for the models in [23] is an open question. Our goal in this paper is different, as we aim to get estimates of the gradient of the semigroup at each fixed time for the non rescaled process. Also, the state space of the Euclidean kinetic Brownian motion is not compact and is not negatively curved. This again places our model in a critical situation.

Our goal, in this paper, is thus to obtain estimates of the gradient of the semigroup via a Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formula at a given and fixed non small time T . We will work through the usual Malliavin calculus and the Malliavin covariance approach. We will take advantage of a decomposition of the standard Brownian motion on an orthonormal basis to perform a Gaussian integration by parts and compute the dual of the Malliavin derivative. The main point then is to establish the long-time behaviour of the (reduced) Malliavin matrix and of the dual. This will be obtained through the study of homogenisation and the convergence in law of stochastic oscillating integrals. A crucial point being that, if by the standard Hörmander theorem the (reduced) Malliavin matrix is invertible and its inverse admits moments of any order, a uniform bound in T of these moments is needed here. This uniform bound is a difficult technical point. This will be done through a careful study of oscillating stochastic integrals and proving, with the help of the recent work [12] by Bobkov, that they have sub-Gaussian densities.

1.2 Organisation and main results

In Section 2 we present the model $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$ for the kinetic Brownian motion on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and its lift on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ as well as the main strategy for obtaining integration by parts formula, which will lead to estimates of the derivative of the semigroup at time $T > 0$. This integration by parts formula is given in Equation (2.6) of Proposition 2.1 using a Malliavin dual δh :

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = -\mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \delta h].$$

As our construction of the driving Brownian motion is made via an orthonormal basis in the path space and a sequence of Gaussian random variables, a general formula for Malliavin duals is given in Proposition 2.2 with the explicit Formula (2.27), extending to infinite dimension well-known integration by parts formulae on Gaussian spaces. Our first main result is Theorem 2.3 where both the explicit computation of the Malliavin dual and an explicit Bismut-Li-Elworthy type integration by parts formula are established.

In particular, the dual is expressed in terms of (the inverse of) the Malliavin matrix of the kinetic Brownian motion and in terms of oscillating integrals of the form

$$\int_0^T g(s) e^{iB_s} ds, \quad s \in [0, T]$$

where $(B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$ and where g is a (possibly random) $\mathcal{C}^1$ function.

Section 3 is devoted to convergences of stochastic oscillating integrals. A strong law of large numbers is given in Theorem 3.1. Then in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3,

convergences in law for the driving Brownian motion, the renormalised kinetic Brownian motion as well as for several multiple integrals are stated. In particular this immediately leads to a convergence in law of the renormalised Malliavin dual.

However, for studying the integration by parts formulae in large time, an essential tool is a uniform control in time of all moments of the inverse of the appropriately renormalised reduced Malliavin matrix. This result is stated in Proposition 4.2. It turns out that, for obtaining this result, oscillating integrals have to be appropriately sliced, each slice having a sub-Gaussian density, and the sum also having sub-Gaussian density thanks to recent results in [12]. All of this is explained in Lemma 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6.

In Proposition 4.7, these results are applied to obtain asymptotic estimates of the moments of the Malliavin dual for T large enough:

$$\mathbb{E}[|\delta h|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_p \left(|v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right), \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\mathbb{R}} \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

This proposition provides an order $T^{-1/2}$ for the vertical gradient of the semigroup, but only constant order for the horizontal gradient.

Section 5 contains the main results of the paper, improving in several directions the estimate of the horizontal gradient. Theorem 5.1 yields an estimate of order $T^{-1/4}$ for the horizontal gradient of $P_T f$ and again $T^{-1/2}$ for the vertical gradient, with a control involving $(P_T |f|^p)^{1/p}$, for any $p \in (1, \infty)$ and T large enough:

$$|(d_x P_T f, v)| \leq C_p (P_T |f|^p(x))^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{T^{1/4}} |v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right).$$

The method incorporates an adapted Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula to make a transfer from horizontal to vertical gradient. Theorem 5.2 states a $T^{-1/2}$ or $\ln(T)T^{-1/2}$ rate for the whole gradient of $P_T f$, with a control in terms of $\|f\|_{\infty}$ for T large enough:

$$|(d_x P_T f, v)| \leq C \|f\|_{\infty} \frac{\|v\|}{\sqrt{T}}$$

for the semigroup on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and

$$|(d_x P_T f, v)| \leq C \|f\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{\ln(T)}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right)$$

for the semigroup on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$.

For this result, reflection coupling for the driving Brownian motion is performed before applying the integration by parts formulae. Finally, Theorem 5.3 provides, as a consequence a Liouville property for the semigroup: all bounded harmonic functions are constant.

2 Presentation of the model and Malliavin calculus

2.1 The model on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and its lift on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$

This article is devoted to the study of the kinetic Brownian motion in the plane. The true model is to consider a stochastic process $(\tilde{U}_t, Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ which lives in $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ where $\tilde{U}_t$ is a Brownian motion on the circle and Z_t its integral; that is satisfying:

$$dZ_t = \tilde{U}_t dt.$$

Here, we will often identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{C}$ in the natural way. And it is also natural to view $\mathbb{S}$ as the quotient $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$; this identification being made by the bijection: $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}$. Moreover, the Brownian motion on the circle $\mathbb{S}$ is also given by the complex exponential of a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$. With these identifications, the kinetic Brownian motion on the plane is simply the process $(U_t, Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{C}$ described by the stochastic differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} dU_t &= dB_t \\ dZ_t &= e^{iU_t} dt, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

(B_t) being a real-valued Brownian motion started at 0.

It is immediate to see that the kinetic Brownian motion can be lifted to a stochastic process on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ sharing the same stochastic differential equation (2.1). Since we will often work only infinitesimally, most of our arguments indifferently hold for the two models on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. For simplicity, unless otherwise specifically said, we choose to work on the lifted model on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and we denote $(U_t, Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the solution of (2.1) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$.

For later convenience, the solution $\begin{pmatrix} U_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix}$ will be represented by a 3-dimensional column vector and $Z_t \in \mathbb{C}$ will often be identified with $\begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}(Z_t) \\ \text{Im}(Z_t) \end{pmatrix}$.

The solution starting in $x = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ is easily given by $X_t^x = \begin{pmatrix} U_t \\ Z_t \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$\begin{cases} U_t &= u + B_t \\ Z_t &= z + \int_0^t e^{iU_s} ds = z + e^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Following the model in (2.2), in the sequel we will more generally denote by X_t the functional defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}([0, +\infty[)$ such that $X_t(x, (B_s)_{s \geq 0})$ is the kinetic Brownian motion X_t^x . We also denote

$$dX_t^x = V_0(X_t^x)dt + VdB_t$$

where the vector fields V and V_0 are given by:

$$V(u, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } V_0(u, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e^{iu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, the generator L written on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ of this Markov process is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_u + \cos(u)\partial_{z_1} + \sin(u)\partial_{z_2} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that with

$$[V, V_0] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ ie^{iu} \end{pmatrix} =: V_1, \quad [V, V_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e^{iu} \end{pmatrix} =: V_2$$

the vector fields $\{V, V_1, V_2\}$ generate the tangent space in each point and thus the step-three Hörmander condition is satisfied and the model is hypoelliptic.

2.2 The tangent flow

Here we consider the solution starting from different points with the same Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (synchronous coupling) $(X_t^x) := (X_t(x, (B_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t}))$. The tangent flow is obtained by differentiating this flow with respect to the initial solution:

$$TX_t^x v := \frac{d}{da} \Big|_{a=0} X_t^{x+av} = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_z + iv_0 e^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix} = J_t^x v$$

where $J_t^x := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

For future use note that

$$(J_t^x)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -ie^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } (J_t^x)^{-1} V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -ie^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix}$$

and also

$$J_T^x (J_t^x)^{-1} V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ie^{iu} \int_0^T e^{iB_s} ds - ie^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ie^{iu} \int_t^T e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that both the tangent flow and its inverse are uniformly bounded on each time interval $[0, T]$.

2.3 Malliavin derivative and integration by parts formula

In the sequel, we will only be interested in computing the Malliavin derivative $\mathcal{D}X_T^x$ for the value at time T of X_T^x which can be seen as the differential of $X_T(x, \cdot)$.

Set $H := L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. For $h \in H$:

$$\mathcal{D}X_T^x(h) := \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} X_T \left(x, \left(B_t + \varepsilon \int_0^t h(s) ds \right)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^T h(t) dt \\ ie^{iu} \int_0^T e^{iB_t} \int_0^t h(s) ds dt \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple idea to obtain an integration by parts formula is, given a curve $\gamma(a)$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$, to find $\mathfrak{h}_a$ such that

$$X_T \left(\gamma(a), \left(B_t + \int_0^t \mathfrak{h}_a(s) ds \right)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \right) \equiv X_T(x, (B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}). \quad (2.4)$$

Taking derivative in $a = 0$, this implies

$$TX_T^x v + \mathcal{D}X_T^x(h) = J_T^x v + \mathcal{D}X_T^x(h) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $h := \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \Big|_{a=0} \gamma(a)$. However, the curves in a and Equation (2.4) serve only as a motivation and will finally not be needed, only the infinitesimal version (2.5) will be used.

From this simple equation, we already obtain in the following proposition our integration by parts formula. However most of the work will be later to find an explicit form for the Malliavin dual, prove that the integrability assumption is satisfied, and above all, find an asymptotic as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded $\mathcal{C}^1$ function with bounded derivative. Denote by P_T the semigroup of X_T and δ a Malliavin duality operator. If $h = h(x, v, T)$ is in the domain of δ and satisfies (2.5), then we have*

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = -\mathbb{E}[f(X_T^x) \delta h]. \quad (2.6)$$

As explained in Section 2.1, the same result also holds for the model on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ with the same equation for the tangent flow, for the Malliavin derivative and for the Malliavin dual.

Proof. On one hand we have

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{x+\epsilon v})] = \mathbb{E}[(d_{X_T^x} f, J_T^x v)] \quad (2.7)$$

(and it is clear that J_T^x has all its moments bounded). On the other hand, since the derivative of f is bounded, since the diffusion (X_t) has bounded coefficients as well as their derivatives, and since h is in the domain of δ (by hypothesis), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h))] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{D}(f(X_T^x))(h)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^x) \delta h]. \quad (2.8)$$

From Equation (2.5) and the boundedness of the moments of J_t^x , we see that $(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h))$ is integrable, and moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}[(d_{X_T^x} f, J_T^x v)] = -\mathbb{E}[(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h))]. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we get (2.6). $\square$

2.4 The infinitesimal control problem and the Malliavin matrix

We now describe a solution of Equation (2.5). By the Malliavin calculus, it is known, see e.g. [16], that

$$\mathcal{D}X_T^x(h) = J_T^x \int_0^T (J_t^x)^{-1} V h(t) dt.$$

Here, this can be seen directly since, by integration by parts:

$$ie^{iu} \int_0^T e^{iB_t} \int_0^t h(s) ds dt = ie^{iu} \int_0^T \int_t^T e^{iB_s} ds h(t) dt.$$

As a consequence, Equation (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^T (J_t^x)^{-1} V h(t) dt = -v. \quad (2.10)$$

Recalling

$$(J_t^x)^{-1} V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -ie^{iu} \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix},$$

Equation (2.10) can be written as the system

$$\begin{cases} \langle h_0, h \rangle = -v_0 \\ \langle h_1^x, h \rangle = -v_1 \\ \langle h_2^x, h \rangle = -v_2 \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard scalar product on H and

$$h_0(t) = 1, \quad h_1^x(t) = \int_0^t \sin(u + B_s) ds, \quad h_2^x(t) = - \int_0^t \cos(u + B_s) ds.$$

Notice that similarly as J_t^x , h_1^x and h_2^x depend only on the coordinate u of x .

To find a solution, we choose to write

$$h = \lambda_0 h_0 + \lambda_1 h_1^x + \lambda_2 h_2^x. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that the obtained solution h will minimise the norm $\|h\|_2$ but has no reason to minimise the norm of the dual $\|\delta h\|_2$.

Such a $h = h(x, v, T)$ is thus a solution to the system (2.11) if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\mathcal{C}^x(T)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ the Gram matrix:

$$\mathcal{C}^x(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_0, h_0 \rangle & \langle h_0, h_1^x \rangle & \langle h_0, h_2^x \rangle \\ \langle h_1^x, h_0 \rangle & \langle h_1^x, h_1^x \rangle & \langle h_1^x, h_2^x \rangle \\ \langle h_2^x, h_0 \rangle & \langle h_2^x, h_1^x \rangle & \langle h_2^x, h_2^x \rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ may also be written as the reduced Malliavin matrix of (X_t^x) (here $*$ means the transposed matrix):

$$\mathcal{C}^x(T) = \int_0^T (J_t^x)^{-1} V V^* ((J_t^x)^{-1})^* dt. \quad (2.14)$$

In particular, as $(X_t^x)_t$ satisfies Hörmander's condition, $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ is invertible (see [16], Section 4.2). Denoting the random map $a_T^x : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, $h \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_0, h \rangle \\ \langle h_1^x, h \rangle \\ \langle h_2^x, h \rangle \end{pmatrix}$, we observe that $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ is also the matrix of the linear map $a_T^x (a_T^x)^*$ with $(a_T^x)^* : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$, $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \lambda_0 h_0 + \lambda_1 h_1^x + \lambda_2 h_2^x$.

With this notation at hand, Equation (2.12) rewrites $h = (a_T^x)^*(\lambda)$, so the only solution to (2.11) which is a linear combination of (h_0, h_1, h_2) is

$$h = -(a_T^x)^* (a_T^x (a_T^x)^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

In fact a_T^x and the matrix $\mathcal{C}^x$ depend only on u and satisfy:

$$a_T^x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(u) \end{pmatrix} a_T^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}^x(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(u) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}^0(T) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

where $R(u)$ denotes the rotation: $R(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos u & -\sin u \\ \sin u & \cos u \end{pmatrix}$. In the remainder of this article, we will simply use the notations a_T and $\mathcal{C}$ for a_T^0 and $\mathcal{C}^0$ respectively.

In particular, (2.15) can be rewritten:

$$h = -a_T^* (a_T a_T^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

2.5 Malliavin calculus in a basis and computation of the dual

Our method for integration by parts is based on a non-co-adapted construction of the Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ in the model (2.1) where $T > 0$ is fixed. This construction depends on a choice of an orthonormal basis. Working in a specific basis serves only as an intermediate step to do the computation. At the end, we will see that the computation of the dual will not depend on this choice.

Let $(e_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ with for simplicity $e_0 \equiv 1$ and set $g_k(t) = \int_0^t e_k(s) ds$ for $k \geq 0$. We then consider the family $(g_{k,T})_{k \geq 0}$ on $H = L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ obtained from dilation; that is

$$\forall k \geq 0, \quad g_{k,T}(t) := \sqrt{T} g_k\left(\frac{t}{T}\right), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.18)$$

In particular, $(e_{k,T})_{k \geq 0} := (\dot{g}_{k,T})_{k \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. We also have

$$g_{0,T}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad t \in [0, T], \text{ and } g_{k,T}(T) = 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 1. \quad (2.19)$$

A standard choice is given by the Karhunen-Loève basis:

$$g_{0,T}(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{T}} \quad \text{and for } k \geq 1, \quad g_{k,T}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2T}}{\pi k} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi t}{T}\right), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.20)$$

With a sequence $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of independent real-valued Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ variables, it is well-known that we can construct a Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ via the formula

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad B_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k,T}(t) \xi_k \quad (2.21)$$

and that the convergence of the series is a.s. uniform in $t \in [0, 1]$ (see [18]).

For future use, we also introduce the Brownian motion $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ via the formula

$$\forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \tilde{B}_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(t) \xi_k, \quad (2.22)$$

and notice that

$$B_{Ts} = \sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Also, any element $h \in H$ has a decomposition

$$[0, T] \ni t \mapsto h(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \dot{g}_{k,T}(t), \quad (2.23)$$

with $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}$ in the space ℓ^2 of square integrable sequences and which will always be considered as a column vector.

With the decomposition (2.23) of a possibly random h , we obtain

$$B_t + \int_0^t h(s) ds = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k,T}(t) (\xi_k + \alpha_k) \quad (2.24)$$

with $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}$ a ℓ^2 -valued random variable.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that the Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is given by (2.21): $B_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k,T}(t) \xi_k$. Let h be a $L^1(\Omega, H)$ random variable given by*

$$h(\omega)(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k(\omega) \dot{g}_{k,T}(t) \quad \text{with for } k \geq 0, \quad \alpha_k(\omega) = \mathcal{A}_k((\xi_\ell(\omega))_{\ell \geq 0}) \quad (2.25)$$

for some functions $\mathcal{A}_k : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that for all $K \geq 0$, $0 \leq k \leq K$, the random maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k^K(\omega) : \mathbb{R}^{K+1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (z_0, \dots, z_K) &\mapsto \mathcal{A}_k(z_0, \dots, z_K, \xi_{[K+1, \infty)}(\omega)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

are integrable and with integrable derivative on $\mathbb{R}^{K+1}$ with respect to the standard Gaussian distribution, a.s. in $\xi_{[K+1,\infty)}$, where we denoted $\xi_{[K+1,\infty)}(\omega) := (\xi_{K+1}(\omega), \xi_{K+2}(\omega), \dots)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{d}h := \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\alpha_k \xi_k - \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k} \right) \quad (2.27)$$

and assume that this series converges in $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$.

Then h is in the domain of the adjoint of the Malliavin derivative δ and δh is given by $\mathfrak{d}h$, that is, for any $\mathcal{C}^1$ function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bounded with bounded derivative we have the integration by parts

$$\mathbb{E} [(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h))] = \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \mathfrak{d}h]. \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. The proof will proceed by finite dimensional approximations. For $K \geq 0$, let

$$h^K := \sum_{k=0}^K \alpha_k \dot{g}_{k,T}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} F^K : \quad \mathbb{R}^{K+1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (z^0, \dots, z^K) &\mapsto F^K(z^0, \dots, z^K) \end{aligned}$$

the random $\xi_{[K+1,\infty)}$ -measurable function such that $F^K(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_K) = f(X_T^x)$.

With these notations, the Malliavin derivative in the direction h^K writes:

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h^K)) &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} f \left(X_T \left(x, \left(B_t + \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^K \alpha_k g_{k,T}(t) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} F^K((\xi_k + \epsilon \alpha_k)_{0 \leq k \leq K}) \\ &= \langle \nabla F^K, \mathcal{A}^K \rangle(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_K) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^K$ is the random map whose coordinates are defined in (2.26). Now letting $\phi_K(z^0, \dots, z^K)$ be the centered Gaussian density with covariance I_{K+1} in $\mathbb{R}^{K+1}$ we have, by integration by parts and using $\nabla \phi_K(z^0, \dots, z^K) = -\phi_K(z^0, \dots, z^K) \cdot (z^0, \dots, z^K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} [(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h^K)) | \xi_{[K+1,\infty)}] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{K+1}} \langle \nabla F^K, \mathcal{A}^K \rangle(z^0, \dots, z^K) \phi_K(z^0, \dots, z^K) dz^0 \dots dz^K \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{K+1}} F^K(z^0, \dots, z^K) (\langle (z^0, \dots, z^K), \mathcal{A}^K(z^0, \dots, z^K) \rangle - \text{div}(\mathcal{A}^K)(z^0, \dots, z^K)) \\ &\quad \times \phi_K(z^0, \dots, z^K) dz^0 \dots dz^K \\ &= \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \mathfrak{d}h^K | \xi_{[K+1,\infty)}] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{d}h^K := \sum_{k=0}^K \left(\alpha_k \xi_k - \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k} \right).$$

Taking expectation we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} [(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h^K))] = \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \mathfrak{d}h^K]. \quad (2.29)$$

By hypothesis, $h \in L^1(\Omega, H)$ and $\mathfrak{d}h \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, thus, letting $K \rightarrow \infty$, h^K converges to h in $L^1(\Omega, H)$ and $\mathfrak{d}h^K$ converges to $\mathfrak{d}h$ in $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. The expected result then follows from (2.29). $\square$

In order to be able to compute the Malliavin dual of Equation (2.6) with the help of Proposition 2.2, we need to express the solution h found in Equation (2.17) in the chosen basis. For this, it is sufficient to find the matrix of a_T .

We compute the column vectors, recalling $e_{k,T} := \dot{g}_{k,T}$, $k \geq 0$:

$$I_0(T) := a_T(e_{0,T}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} \\ i \int_0^T e^{iB_t} (g_{0,T}(t) - \sqrt{T}) dt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} \\ \sqrt{T} i \int_0^T e^{iB_t} (g_0(t/T) - 1) dt \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.30)$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$I_k(T) := a_T(e_{k,T}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \int_0^T e^{iB_t} g_{k,T}(t) dt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{T} i \int_0^T e^{iB_t} g_k(t/T) dt \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.31)$$

The last formulae are obtained by an integration by parts.

Now define

$$\mathcal{A}_T = (I_0(T) \ I_1(T) \ \dots \ I_k(T) \ \dots). \quad (2.32)$$

It is the matrix of the linear map $a_T : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ in the orthonormal basis $(e_{k,T})_{k \geq 0}$ and therefore satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}_T \mathcal{A}_T^* = a_T a_T^* = \mathcal{C}(T). \quad (2.33)$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}_T \mathcal{A}_T^*$ is invertible using Malliavin calculus.

It is now possible to write the solution of Equation (2.17) in coordinates in the orthonormal basis; that is, $h = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k e_{k,T}$ is the solution of Equation (2.5) given by (2.17) if and only if

$$\alpha = -\mathcal{A}_T^* (\mathcal{A}_T \mathcal{A}_T^*)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.34)$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $T > 0$, $x = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, $v \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. Consider $h = h(x, v, T)$ defined by (2.17), then h is in $L^p(\Omega, H)$ for any $p \geq 1$ and is also in the domain of δ . Its Malliavin dual $\delta h = \delta h(x, v, T)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta h &= \left(-i \int_0^T e^{iB_t} (B_T - B_t) dt \right)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} v \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_0^t \left(i \int_0^s e^{iB_\rho} (\rho - s) d\rho \right)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} \mathcal{K}(t, s) \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} v ds dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{K}$ is defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}(t, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{iB_s^*} \\ e^{iB_s} & -e^{iB_s} \left(i \int_0^t e^{iB_r} dr \right)^* - i \int_0^t e^{iB_r} dr e^{iB_s^*} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.36)$$

The Malliavin dual δh given by (2.35) is also in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ for any $p \geq 1$.

Moreover for any bounded measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $T > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, one has the following Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formula:

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = -\mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \delta h] \quad (2.37)$$

where $\delta h = \delta h(x, v, T)$ is given by (2.35).

Proof. We proceed in five steps. In a first step, we prove h is in $L^p(\Omega, H)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. In a second step we show that the series $\mathfrak{d}h$ is well defined and is equal to (2.35). In a third step we establish the L^p convergence of the series defining $\mathfrak{d}h$. In a fourth step, we prove that the Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formula (2.37) is valid for any bounded $\mathcal{C}^1$ function with bounded derivative. For this we need to introduce some regularised random variables h^ε , do the Malliavin integration by parts and pass to the limit. In the final step, we extend the the Bismut-Elworthy-Li type formula (2.37) to any measurable bounded function.

Step 1: We first show that h is in $L^p(\Omega, H)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$. We have

$$\|h\|_H^2 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k^2 \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \|I_k(T)\|^2 \|\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}\|^2 \|v\|^2.$$

where the $I_k(T)$ for $k \geq 0$ are given by (2.30) and (2.31). By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has

$$\|I_k(T)\|^2 \leq T \int_0^T g_{k,T}(t)^2 dt + T(1 + T^2) \delta_{k=0}$$

and since $\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}(t)^2 = t$, one has:

$$C_T := \sum_{k \geq 0} \|I_k(T)\|^2 \leq T \int_0^T t dt + T(1 + T^2) < +\infty.$$

In particular:

$$\|h\|_H \leq C_T^{1/2} \|\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}\| \|v\|.$$

and $h \in L^p(\Omega, H)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty)$ since by Malliavin calculus $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is a.s. invertible with inverse moments of any orders.

Step 2: We consider here only the case $u = 0$. In view of (2.34), the general case is obtained by rotation. We start with the computation of $-\mathfrak{d}h = -\sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\alpha_k \xi_k - \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k} \right)$.

We look at the first term in $-\mathfrak{d}h$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \xi_k &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (I_k(T) \xi_k)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v \\ &= \left(i \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \int_0^T e^{iBt} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t) dt - \sqrt{T} \int_0^T e^{iBt} \xi_0 dt \right) \right)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

By construction of the Brownian motion, we have the a.s. uniform convergence on $[0, T]$ of $\sum_{k \geq 0} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t)$ to B_t and thus the a.s. convergence of $\sum_{k \geq 0} \int_0^T e^{iBt} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t) dt$ to $\int_0^T e^{iBt} B_t dt$. Thus:

$$-\sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \xi_k = \left(i \int_0^T e^{iBt} (\sqrt{T} \xi_0 (B_t - \sqrt{T} \xi_0)) dt \right)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v = \left(-i \int_0^T e^{iBt} (B_T - B_t) dt \right)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v. \quad (2.39)$$

We now turn to the second term of $\mathfrak{d}h$. We have

$$-\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial I_k(T)}{\partial \xi_k}^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v - \sum_{k \geq 0} I_k(T)^* \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(T)}{\partial \xi_k} \mathcal{C}(T)^{-1} v. \quad (2.40)$$

$$\text{As } \frac{\partial I_k(T)}{\partial \xi_k} = - \left(\int_0^T e^{iBt} g_{k,T}(t)^2 dt \right) + \left(\int_0^T e^{iBt} \sqrt{T} g_{0,T}(t) dt \right) \delta_{\{k=0\}},$$

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial I_k(T)}{\partial \xi_k} = \left(- \int_0^T e^{iBt} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}(t)^2 - t \right) dt \right).$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}(t)^2 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \langle \dot{g}_{k,T}, \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]} \rangle^2 = \|\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}\|_2^2 = t, \quad (2.41)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ still denote the scalar product and the norm on H .

Then the first term in (2.40) finally vanishes.

Using (2.14) and remarking that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} (J_t^0)^{-1} V = \left(\int_0^t e^{iB_s} g_{k,T}(s) ds \right)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}(T)}{\partial \xi_k} = \int_0^T \int_0^t g_{k,T}(s) \mathcal{H}(t, s) ds dt \quad (2.42)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(t, s)$ is given by (2.36).

We also have

$$I_k(T) g_{k,T}(s) = \left(\int_0^T e^{iB_\rho} g_{k,T}(\rho) g_{k,T}(s) d\rho \right) + \left(-i \int_0^T s e^{iB_\rho} d\rho \right) \delta_{\{k=0\}}.$$

Noticing that $\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}(\rho)g_{k,T}(s) = \langle \mathbb{1}_{[0,\rho]}, \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]} \rangle = \rho \wedge s$ and using Fubini, we get

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} I_k(T)g_{k,T}(s) = \left(i \int_0^T e^{iB_\rho} (\rho \wedge s - s) d\rho \right) = \left(i \int_0^s e^{iB_\rho} (\rho - s) d\rho \right).$$

Finally we obtain the convergence and the desired expression for $\mathfrak{d}h$.

Step 3: Let us establish the L^p convergence of the series $\mathfrak{d}h = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\alpha_k \xi_k - \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k} \right)$. For the term $\sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k \xi_k$, looking at the second line of (2.38) and using the fact that $\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}$ has finite moments of all orders, we only need to prove that

$$\sum_{k \geq K} \int_0^T e^{iB_t} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t) dt \xrightarrow[K \rightarrow \infty]{L^p} 0.$$

Letting $C_p = \mathbb{E}[|N|^p]$ with N a $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variable we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k \geq K} \int_0^T e^{iB_t} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t) dt \right|^p \right] &\leq T^{p-1} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k \geq K} \xi_k g_{k,T}(t) \right|^p \right] dt \\ &\leq C_p T^{p-1} \int_0^T \left(\sum_{k \geq K} g_{k,T}^2(t) \right)^{p/2} dt \xrightarrow[K \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the dominated convergence theorem and since $\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}^2(t) = t$. Using

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} |g_{k,T}(\rho)g_{k,T}(s)| \leq \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}^2(\rho) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,T}^2(s) \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{\rho s},$$

the L^p convergence of the series $\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial \alpha_k}{\partial \xi_k}$ is similar.

Step 4: Let us prove the integration by parts (2.28) for a bounded function $\mathcal{C}^1$ with bounded derivative. The idea is to use Proposition 2.2. But since the matrix $\mathcal{C}(T)$ is only almost surely invertible, the regularity of the random maps $\mathcal{A}_k^K(\omega)$ of equation (2.26) for h and α given by (2.34) is not completely clear. We thus introduce the regularised random variables $h^\epsilon := \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k^\epsilon \dot{g}_{k,T}$ where $\epsilon > 0$ and

$$\alpha^\epsilon := -\mathcal{A}_T^*(\mathcal{C}(T) + \epsilon Id)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.43)$$

Since $\mathcal{C}(T) + \epsilon Id$ is invertible for any entries $(z_0, \dots, z_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{K+1}$ and since all the entries of $(I_k(T))_{k \geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}(T)$ are almost surely analytic in $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 0}$, the associated functions $\mathcal{A}_k^{K,\epsilon}(\omega) : \mathbb{R}^{K+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are well defined and almost surely analytic in $(z_0, \dots, z_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{K+1}$.

Now since $\|(\mathcal{C}(T) + \epsilon Id)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, with similar computation as in Step 1, one gets that h^ϵ is bounded in H and thus belongs to any $L^p(\Omega, H)$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Similarly, it is easy to obtain Steps 2 and 3 for h^ϵ ; that is the well definiteness, the computation and the L^p convergence of

$$\mathfrak{d}h^\epsilon = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\alpha_k^\epsilon \xi_k - \frac{\partial \alpha_k^\epsilon}{\partial \xi_k} \right).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{d}h^\epsilon$ is obtained by a formula similar to the right hand side of (2.35) where each occurrence of $\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}$ is replaced by $(\mathcal{C}(T) + \epsilon I_d)^{-1}$.

Using Proposition 2.2, we thus obtain the integration by parts formula (2.28) for h^ϵ :

$$\mathbb{E} [(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h^\epsilon))] = \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \mathfrak{d}h^\epsilon]. \quad (2.44)$$

Now since $\|(\mathcal{C}(T) + \epsilon)^{-1}\| \leq \|\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}\|$ and since by Malliavin calculus the moments of $\mathcal{C}(T)^{-1}$ are finite for any $p \geq 1$, by the dominated convergence, $h^\epsilon \xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{} h$ in $L^p(\Omega, H)$ and $\mathfrak{d}h^\epsilon \xrightarrow[\epsilon \rightarrow 0]{} \mathfrak{d}h$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, for all $p \geq 1$. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives that h is in the domain of δ ; that is the integration by parts formula (2.28) is valid for h .

Now since,

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = \mathbb{E} [(d_{X_T^x} f, \mathcal{D}(X_T^x)(h))] ,$$

one deduces the Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula (2.37) when f is a bounded $\mathcal{C}^1$ function with bounded derivative.

Step 5: Let us next prove that (2.37) is still true for any f measurable and bounded.

For this, let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ such that f_n simply converges to f and with $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

By the dominated convergence theorem, it is clear that for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$:

$$P_t f_n(x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} P_t f(x). \quad (2.45)$$

From now, our goal is to show that the differential of $P_t f_n$ converges uniformly on compact sets. More precisely, we show just below that for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$:

$$\sup_{x \in K} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} |(d_x P_T f_n, v) - \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \delta h(x, v, T)]| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \quad (2.46)$$

Since f_n is a bounded $\mathcal{C}^1$ function with bounded derivative, the integration by parts formula (2.28) is valid for f_n , and thus using also Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} |(d_x P_T f_n, v) - \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \delta h(x, v, T)]| &= |\mathbb{E} [f_n(X_T^x) \delta h(x, v, T)] - \mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \delta h(x, v, T)]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} [|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E} [\delta h(x, v, T)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

First, it is clear that by formula (2.35) and since the Malliavin matrix $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ admit negative moments of order 2 which are here uniform in $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}} \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \mathbb{E} [\delta h(x, v, T)^2] < +\infty.$$

Now, let K be a compact set of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$. There exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $K \subset B_{R_0} := \{x = (u, z), |u| \leq R_0, |z| \leq R_0\}$. Let $x = (u, z) \in K$ and $R \geq R_0 + T$, one has

$$\mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2] = \mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_T^x \in B_R\}}] + \mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_T^x \notin B_R\}}].$$

For the first term, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_T^x \in B_R\}}] &\leq \sup_{x \in K} \int_{B_R} |f(y) - f_n(y)|^2 p_T(x, y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_R} |f(y) - f_n(y)|^2 \sup_{x \in K, y \in B_R} p_T(x, y) dy \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that by Malliavin calculus, the semigroup at time T admits a smooth (here continuous is enough) kernel p_T . For the second term, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{X_T^x \notin B_R\}}] &\leq 4\|f\|_\infty^2 \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{P}(X_T^x \notin B_R) \\ &\leq 4\|f\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{P}(|B_T| \geq R - R_0). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $U_T^x = u + B_T$ and that $|Z_T^x| \leq |z| + T \leq R$ for $x \in K$. As a consequence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \mathbb{E}[|f_n(X_T^x) - f(X_T^x)|^2] \leq 4\|f\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{P}(|B_T| \geq R - R_0) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This ends the proof of (2.46).

Now it is well known and classical that the conjunction of (2.45) and (2.46) implies that $P_t f$ is differentiable and satisfies:

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^x) \delta h(x, v, T)]$$

which proves that (2.37) is valid for any bounded measurable functions f . $\square$

3 Stochastic oscillating integrals

Our goal in this section is now to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the terms appearing in the matrix $\mathcal{C}^x(T)$ and more generally into the dual $\delta h(x, v, T)$. As before, it is sufficient to consider the case $x = 0$. We shall thus focus on the stochastic integrals appearing in the quantities $I_k(T)$ in (2.30) and (2.31). By a change of variable and the definition of $\tilde{B}_s$ in (2.22), one has

$$\sqrt{T} \int_0^T e^{iB_t} g\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) dt = T^{3/2} \int_0^1 e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} g(s) ds. \quad (3.1)$$

In order to treat all the terms in the dual, we also have to consider iterated integrals of the form:

$$\left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right) \ell_1(s) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* ds \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}. \quad (3.2)$$

First we give an ergodic theorem (or a strong law) for these stochastic oscillating integrals. It might be seen as a stochastic version of the Riemann Lebesgue theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\tilde{B}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ be a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^K)$. Then*

$$\int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.3)$$

We now turn to the convergence in law of the above integrals and iterated integrals. This convergence in law is given in Theorem 3.3 below. It may be seen as a central limit theorem for additive functional of the Brownian motion.

The idea to obtain Theorem 3.3 below is to transform these additive functionals into martingales. Using Itô formula, one can replace, up to negligible terms, the integration against $\sqrt{T}e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s}ds$ by the stochastic integration against $2ie^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s}d\tilde{B}_s$; see Proposition 3.4 below for a precise statement. Now, in the following theorem, which might be interesting in itself, we prove the convergence in law for the resulting martingales.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{B}_b([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^K)$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{B}_b([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^L)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \\ \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} \right) d\tilde{B}_r \right) \ell_1(s) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \\ & \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{Law} \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t g(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) d\mathcal{W}_r \right) \ell_1(s) (d\mathcal{W}_s)^* \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{C}$ independent of $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

The convergence in law in Theorem 3.2 and in Theorem 3.3 below is with respect to the standard uniform topology on $\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^K \times (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*)^L)$ where $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \simeq M_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})^* \simeq M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

We can now give the result for the additive functionals of the Brownian motion.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^K)$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^L)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ \sqrt{T} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds \\ T \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right) \ell_1(s) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* ds \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \\ & \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{Law} \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ i\sqrt{2} \int_0^t g(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \\ 2 \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) (id \mathcal{W}_r) \right) \ell_1(s) (i \circ d\mathcal{W}_s)^* \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{C}$ independent of $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, and $\circ d\mathcal{W}_s$ stands for Stratonovich integral.

We chose to write Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 in this order since the $\mathbb{C}$ -Brownian motion $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in Theorem 3.3 may be taken to be the same as in Theorem 3.2.

We now provide the proposition to transform additive functionals into martingales.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard 1-dimensional Brownian motion and let $0 \leq t \leq 1$.*

Let g be a $\mathcal{C}^1$ function on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\sqrt{T} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds = 2i \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s + R_{T,g}(t) \quad (3.5)$$

where the remainder term $R_{T,g}(t) = \frac{-2g(t)}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} + \frac{2g(0)}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_0} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^t g'(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds$ satisfies:

$$\|R_{T,g}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} (4\|g\|_\infty + 2\|g'\|_\infty).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Let ψ_T be the function $\psi_T(x) = \frac{-2}{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}x}$. It satisfies

$$\psi_T'(x) = \frac{-2i}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i\sqrt{T}x}, \quad \psi_T''(x) = 2e^{i\sqrt{T}x}$$

and thus, by Itô formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s) \psi_T''(\tilde{B}_s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t g(s) \left(d(\psi_T(\tilde{B}_s)) - \psi_T'(\tilde{B}_s) d\tilde{B}_s \right) \\ &= g(t) \psi_T(\tilde{B}_t) - g(0) \psi_T(\tilde{B}_0) - \int_0^t g'(s) \psi_T(\tilde{B}_s) ds - \int_0^t g(s) \psi_T'(\tilde{B}_s) d\tilde{B}_s \\ &= \frac{-2g(t)}{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} + \frac{2g(0)}{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_0} + \frac{2}{T} \int_0^t g'(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s. \end{aligned}$$

This immediately yields (3.5). The estimate of the remainder term $R_{T,g}$ is immediate. $\square$

We now provide the proof of the strong law for the oscillating integrals.¹

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Working coordinate-wise, it is enough to consider the case $K = 1$. As for the standard Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, by density of $\mathcal{C}^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ in $L^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$, it is enough to treat only the case where $g \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. Using Proposition 3.4, one has for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{g,T}(t) &:= \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds \\ &= \frac{2i}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \end{aligned}$$

¹This nice and simple proof was communicated to us by one of the referees. We wish to thank her/him warmly. In an earlier version of the paper, we used a proof based on the occupation time formula for the Brownian motion.

where the remainder term $O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$ is uniform in $t \in [0, 1]$. By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, one has for $T \geq 1$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |I_{g, T}|^8 \right] \leq \frac{C}{T^4}.$$

By Borell-Cantelli, this ensures the desired uniform convergence for the subsequence $(\sqrt{n})_{n \geq 1}$:

$$I_{g, \sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\|\cdot\|_\infty, a.s.} 0.$$

To conclude for a generic T , one uses that for $\sqrt{n} \leq T < \sqrt{n+1}$ and since the complex exponential is 1-Lipschitz,

$$\|I_{g, T} - I_{g, \sqrt{n}}\|_\infty \leq |T - \sqrt{n}| \int_0^1 |g(s)| |\tilde{B}_s| ds \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0.$$

□

Complementary to Proposition 3.4, the next lemma yields uniform bounds for the even moments of (3.5).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $k \geq 1$. For any $\lambda > 0$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and any bounded measurable deterministic function g on $[0, 1]^k$ with value in $\mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{[0, 1]^k} g(s) \prod_{j=1}^k e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_{s_j}} ds_1 \dots ds_k \right|^{2p} \right] \leq \|g\|_\infty^{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{[0, 1]^k} \prod_{j=1}^k e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_{s_j}} ds_1 \dots ds_k \right|^{2p} \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for all $T > 0$ and all bounded measurable functions g on $[0, 1]^k$ with value in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| T^{\frac{k}{2}} \int_{[0, 1]^k} g(s) \prod_{j=1}^k e^{i\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_{s_j}} ds_1 \dots ds_k \right|^{2p} \right] \leq C_p \|g\|_\infty^{2p}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. For simplicity, the proof is detailed only for $k = 1$. The method for $k \geq 2$ is similar replacing all the one-dimensional integrals below on $[0, 1]$ by multiple integrals on $[0, 1]^k$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left| \int_0^1 g(s) e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} ds \right|^2 \right)^p \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{[0, 1]^2} ds_1 ds_2 g(s_1) g(s_2) e^{i\lambda(\tilde{B}_{s_2} - \tilde{B}_{s_1})} \right)^p \right] \\ &= \int_{[0, 1]^{2p}} ds_1 \dots ds_{2p} g(s_1) \dots g(s_{2p}) \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{2p} (-1)^k \tilde{B}_{s_k}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remarking that $\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{2p} (-1)^k \tilde{B}_{s_k}$ is a centered Gaussian random variable, we get that $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{2p} (-1)^k \tilde{B}_{s_k}} \right]$ is a positive number. Consequently, the last term can be bounded above by

$$\|g\|_\infty^{2p} \int_{[0, 1]^{2p}} ds_1 \dots ds_{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{i\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{2p} (-1)^k \tilde{B}_{s_k}} \right].$$

But with the same calculation we get that this term is equal to

$$\|g\|_\infty^{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left| \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} ds \right|^2 \right)^p \right],$$

yielding (3.6). Now, as in the proof of Proposition 3.4, one has

$$\int_0^1 e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} ds = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} (1 - e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_1}) + \frac{2i}{\lambda} \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s.$$

As a consequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left| \lambda \int_0^1 g(s) e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} ds \right|^2 \right)^p \right] &\leq 2^p \lambda^{2p} \|g\|_\infty^{2p} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left(\frac{16}{\lambda^4} + \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \left| \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \right|^2 \right)^p \right) \right] \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} \lambda^{2p} \|g\|_\infty^{2p} \left(\left(\frac{16}{\lambda^4} \right)^p + \frac{2^{2p}}{\lambda^{2p}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^1 e^{i\lambda \tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \right|^{2p} \right] \right) \\ &\leq 2^{2p-1} \lambda^{2p} \|g\|_\infty^{2p} \left(\left(\frac{16}{\lambda^4} \right)^p + \frac{2^{3p}}{\lambda^{2p}} \mathbb{E} [|\beta_1|^{2p}] \right) \\ &\leq C_p \|g\|_\infty^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

where β is a standard Brownian motion on $\mathbb{R}$. □

We can now give the proof of Theorem 3.2.

To simplify the proof and the computation of the quadratic variations, in the proof we identify $\mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^K \times (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*)^L$ with $\mathbb{R}^{1+2K+4L}$. Here we recall that $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}^* \simeq M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ and we choose to identify a real 2 by 2 matrix M with $(M_{1,1}, M_{1,2}, M_{2,1}, M_{2,2})^* \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We will proceed in two steps: first we will consider only the vector valued martingale

$$(M_t^g(T))_{0 \leq t \leq 1} := \left(\int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}. \quad (3.8)$$

Our goal is to show that the law of this martingale converges to (the law of)

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{B}_t}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t g(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}. \quad (3.9)$$

where $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion in $\mathbb{C}$ independent of $(\tilde{B}_t)_{t \geq 0}$. For this, we will prove that the quadratic variation converges in law to the quadratic variation of the limit with respect to the standard uniform topology in $\mathcal{C}([0, T], M_{d \times d}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $d = 2K + 1$. We will in fact, using Theorem 3.1, prove an almost sure convergence for the quadratic variation in this first step. We will then use a simple criterion based on some uniform integrability of the quadratic variation from Zheng [35] to prove that the martingale converges and to identify the limit.

Let us denote by $\int_0^t u_s^g(T)ds$ the quadratic variation of the martingale M_t^g . The $2K+1$ square symmetric matrix u_s^g is thus given by

$$u_s^g(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & U_1^* & \dots & U_K^* \\ U_1 & U_{1,1} & \dots & U_{1,K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ U_K & U_{K,1} & \dots & U_{K,K} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

where for $1 \leq k \leq K$,

$$U_k = g_k(s) \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \\ \sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $1 \leq j, k \leq K$,

$$U_{j,k} = g_j(s)g_k(s) \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)^2 & \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)\sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \\ \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)\sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) & \sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)^2 & \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)\sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \\ \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)\sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) & \sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) & \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \\ \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) & -\frac{1}{2}\cos(2\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following almost sure convergence of the quadratic variation of M_t^g :

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\| \int_0^t u_s^g(T)ds - A(t) \right\| \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0 \quad (3.12)$$

where $A(t)$ the $2K+1$ deterministic matrix given by:

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0^* & \dots & 0^* \\ 0 & A_{1,1} & \dots & A_{1,K} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & A_{K,1} & \dots & A_{K,K} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.13)$$

where for $1 \leq j, k \leq K$,

$$A_{j,k} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_j(s)g_k(s)ds & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_j(s)g_k(s)ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

We recognize that $(A(t))_{t \in [0,1]}$ is the quadratic variation of the martingale in (3.9). In particular, in view of (3.12), the martingale in (3.9) is the only possible limit in law for the family of martingales (3.8).

To show the existence of the limit (in law) of the martingale (3.8) as $T \rightarrow +\infty$ and the identification of the limit as (the law) of the martingale (3.9), it is thus enough to show that the family of martingales are tight for the topology of the uniform convergence on

$\mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^{1+2K})$. According to Theorem 3 in [35], it is enough to check that there exists $p > 1$ such that the random variables $\int_0^1 \|u_s(T)\|^p ds$ are tight in $\mathbb{R}$, also called uniformly bounded in probability in [35]; that is

$$\sup_{T \geq 1} \mathbb{P} \left(\int_0^1 \|u_s^g(T)\|^p ds \geq M \right) \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (3.14)$$

The notation $\|u_s^g(T)\|(\omega)$ is for the norm or the matrix $u_s^g(T)(\omega)$. But here all entries of $u_s^g(T)(\omega)$ are uniformly bounded in T and ω .

This ends the first step of the proof.

In a second step, we add the iterated integrals and consider the vector valued martingale:

$$(M_t^{g,\ell}(T))_{0 \leq t \leq 1} := \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \\ \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} \right) d\tilde{B}_r \right) \ell_1(s) \left(e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}. \quad (3.15)$$

The method of the proof is similar as in the first step. First we show that the quadratic variation converges in law. This will follow mainly from the first step of the proof. Then we check the above uniform integrability of the quadratic variation to prove that the martingale converges and to identify its limit.

Let us denote by $\int_0^t u_s^{g,\ell}(T) ds$ the quadratic variation of the martingale (3.15). It is given by the $1 + 2K + 4L$ matrix:

$$u_s^{g,\ell}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} u_s^g(T) & v_s^{g,\ell}(T)^* \\ v_s^{g,\ell}(T) & w_s^{\ell,\ell}(T) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $u_s^g(T)$ is given by (3.10) and

$$w_s^{\ell,\ell}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} W_{1,1} & \dots & W_{1,L} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ W_{L,1} & \dots & W_{L,L} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq j, l \leq L$

$$W_{j,l} = \ell_1^j \ell_1^l \begin{pmatrix} J_{c,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1^2 & J_{c,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{c,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1^2 & J_{c,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 \\ J_{c,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{c,j} J_{c,l} \theta_2^2 & J_{c,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{c,j} J_{s,l} \theta_2^2 \\ J_{s,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1^2 & J_{s,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{s,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1^2 & J_{s,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 \\ J_{s,j} J_{c,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{s,j} J_{c,l} \theta_2^2 & J_{s,j} J_{s,l} \theta_1 \theta_2 & J_{s,j} J_{s,l} \theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with for $1 \leq l \leq L$

$$J_{c,l}(s) = \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2^l(r) \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r) d\tilde{B}_r, \quad J_{s,l}(s) = \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2^l(r) \sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r) d\tilde{B}_r$$

and

$$\theta_1(s) = \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s), \quad \theta_2(s) = \sin(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s);$$

and where

$$v_s^{g,\ell}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ \vdots \\ V_L \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq l \leq L$

$$V_l = \ell_1^l \begin{pmatrix} J_{c,l}\theta_1 & J_{c,l}g^1\theta_1^2 & J_{c,l}g^1\theta_1\theta_2 & \dots & J_{c,l}g^K\theta_1^2 & J_{c,l}g^K\theta_1\theta_2 \\ J_{c,l}\theta_2 & J_{c,l}g^1\theta_1\theta_2 & J_{c,l}g^1\theta_2^2 & \dots & J_{c,l}g^K\theta_1\theta_2 & J_{c,l}g^K\theta_2^2 \\ J_{s,l}\theta_1 & J_{s,l}g^1\theta_1^2 & J_{s,l}g^1\theta_1\theta_2 & \dots & J_{s,l}g^K\theta_1^2 & J_{s,l}g^K\theta_1\theta_2 \\ J_{s,l}\theta_2 & J_{s,l}g^1\theta_1\theta_2 & J_{s,l}g^1\theta_2^2 & \dots & J_{s,l}g^K\theta_1\theta_2 & J_{s,l}g^K\theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the first part of the proof, we deduce that there exists $(\mathcal{W}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ a Brownian motion independent of $(\tilde{B}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ such that

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_t \\ \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \\ \int_0^t \ell_2(s) e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s \end{pmatrix}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \right) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{Law} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t g(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t \ell_2(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \end{pmatrix}_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \right).$$

Using again (3.11), we prove that the quadratic variation of $\int_0^t u_s^{g,\ell}(T) ds$ of $M^{g,\ell}$ converges in law to:

$$\int_0^t u_s^{g,\ell}(T) ds \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{Law} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} A(s) & B(s)^* \\ B(s) & C(s) \end{pmatrix} ds$$

$$B(s) = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_L \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $1 \leq l \leq L$

$$B_l = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \ell_1^l(s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{1,l}g^1 Id_2 & \dots & I_{1,l}g^K Id_2 \\ 0 & I_{2,l}g^1 Id_2 & \dots & I_{2,l}g^K Id_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C(s) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{1,1} & \dots & C_{1,L} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{L,1} & \dots & C_{L,L} \end{pmatrix}, C_{j,l} = \frac{1}{4} \ell_1^j(s) \ell_1^l(s) \begin{pmatrix} (I_{1,j}I_{1,l})Id_2 & (I_{1,j}I_{2,l})Id_2 \\ (I_{2,j}I_{1,l})Id_2 & (I_{2,j}I_{2,l})Id_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$I_{1,j} = \int_0^s \ell_2^j(r) d\mathcal{W}_r^1, \quad I_{2,j} = \int_0^s \ell_2^j(r) d\mathcal{W}_r^2.$$

To be complete and deal with remainder terms, we must show for example that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \ell_2(r) \cos(\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r) d\tilde{B}_r \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s) ds \right)^2 \right] \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

This is direct using Itô formula as in Proposition 3.4, replacing g by the martingale $M_s = \int_0^s \ell_2(r) \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_r) d\tilde{B}_r$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t M_s^2 \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s)}{2} ds &= -M_t^2 \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_t)}{4T} + \int_0^t M_s \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s)}{2T} dM_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s)}{4T} (dM_s, dM_s) + \int_0^t M_s^2 \frac{\cos(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s)}{2\sqrt{T}} d\tilde{B}_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\cos(2\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s)}{\sqrt{T}} M_s (dM_s, d\tilde{B}_s). \end{aligned}$$

The limit of the quadratic variation corresponds to the quadratic variation of the vector valued martingale:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B}_t \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^t g(s) d\mathcal{W}_s \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) d\mathcal{W}_r \right) \ell_1(s) (d\mathcal{W}_s)^* \end{array} \right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}. \quad (3.16)$$

We now turn to the uniform integrability condition (3.14). We take $p = 2$ and show the stronger fact that all the entries of the matrix $u_s^{g,\ell}(T)$ are uniformly bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ for $T \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, 1]$. This is clear for the entries of $v_s^{g,\ell}$ using Itô isometry. This is also the case for the entries of $w_s^{\ell,\ell}$ since for example

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^1 \ell_2(r) \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_r) d\tilde{B}_r \right)^4 \right] \leq E[G^4]$$

for G a centered gaussian variable with variance $\|\ell_2\|_\infty^2$. Similarly as in the first step, this gives the existence of the limit in law of the martingale (3.15) and its identification as the law of the martingale (3.16). $\square$

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. First using Proposition 3.4, we have

$$\sqrt{T} \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s} ds = 2i \int_0^t g(s) e^{i\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s + R_{T,g}(t)$$

with $R_{T,g}$ converging a.s. to 0 uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Using also Proposition 3.4 with the $\mathcal{C}^1$

function: $\ell(s) = \ell_1(s) \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_T(t) &:= \int_{s=0}^t \ell(s) \cdot \left(\sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* ds \\
&= -\frac{2}{T} \left(\ell_1(t) \int_{r=0}^t \ell_2(r) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right) \cdot \left(\sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} \right)^* \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{T} \int_{s=0}^t \ell'_1(s) \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \cdot \left(\sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* ds \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{T} \int_{s=0}^t \ell_1(s) \ell_2(s) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \cdot \left(\sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* ds \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2 \int_{s=0}^t \left(\ell_1(s) \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right) \cdot \left(i e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \tag{3.18}$$

Using Lemma 3.5, we directly see that, when $T \rightarrow \infty$, the first two lines in $J_T(t)$ converges (uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$) to 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$. By Theorem 3.1 and using (3.11), the term (3.17) on the third line converges to

$$\int_{s=0}^t \ell_1(s) \ell_2(s) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} ds. \tag{3.19}$$

Using again Proposition 3.4 for the last term (3.18), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{s=0}^t \left(\ell_1(s) \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right) \cdot \left(i e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \\
&= 4 \int_{s=0}^t \left(\ell_1(s) \int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) \left(i e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} \right) d\tilde{B}_r \right) \cdot \left(i e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2 \int_{s=0}^t \ell_1(s) R_{T, \ell_2}(s) \cdot \left(i e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \right)^* d\tilde{B}_s \tag{3.21}$$

In particular (3.21) also tends to 0. Finally, by Theorem 3.2, the term (3.20) converges in law to

$$2 \int_{s=0}^t \left(\int_{r=0}^s \ell_2(r) (id \mathcal{W}_r) \right) \ell_1(s) (id \mathcal{W}_s)^*. \tag{3.22}$$

The proof is finished by using Slutsky's theorem and since the sum of (3.19) and (3.22) provides the announced Stratonovich integral.

□

4 Integrability and convergence of the dual

In all this section, we study the long-time behaviour and the asymptotics of the Malliavin dual. The estimates obtained here will be used in the next section to deduce gradient estimates of the semigroup.

4.1 Asymptotic behaviour of the Malliavin matrix

We focus first on the Malliavin matrix. We introduce here a natural scaling and prove the convergence in law of the the Malliavin matrix under this rescaling.

Note that in $L^2([0, T])$, for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$,

$$\langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \int_0^T h_i(t) h_j(t) dt = T \int_0^1 h_i(rT) h_j(rT) dr$$

and that for $0 \leq r \leq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} h_0(Tr) &= 1, \\ h_1(Tr) &= \int_0^{Tr} \sin(B_t) dt = \sqrt{T} \left(\sqrt{T} \int_0^r \sin(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \right), \\ h_2(Tr) &= -\int_0^{Tr} \cos(B_t) dt = \sqrt{T} \left(-\sqrt{T} \int_0^r \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \right), \end{cases}$$

where $(\tilde{B}_r)_{0 \leq r \leq 1}$ is still defined in (2.22).

In view of Theorem 3.3, it is thus natural to introduce the rescaled matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{C}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ is also the Gram matrix but in $L^2([0, 1])$ of $(\tilde{h}_0, \tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2)$ where for $0 \leq r \leq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_0(r) &= 1 \\ \tilde{h}_1(r) &= \sqrt{T} \int_0^r \sin(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \\ \tilde{h}_2(r) &= -\sqrt{T} \int_0^r \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \end{cases}. \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, we may also write

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) = \int_0^1 \tilde{I}_T(r) \tilde{I}_T(r)^* dr \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$\tilde{I}_T(r) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{T} \int_0^r \sin(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \\ -\sqrt{T} \int_0^r \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s) ds \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i\sqrt{T} \int_0^r e^{i\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_s} ds \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following convergence in law:

Proposition 4.1. *One has the convergence:*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{Law} \mathcal{G} \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^1 ds & \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^2 ds \\ \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^1 ds & 2 \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_s^1)^2 ds & 2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^1 \mathcal{W}_s^2 ds \\ \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^2 ds & 2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{W}_s^1 \mathcal{W}_s^2 ds & 2 \int_0^1 (\mathcal{W}_s^2)^2 ds \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^1 + i\mathcal{W}^2$ is the Brownian motion from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

We may also write:

$$\mathcal{G} = \int_0^1 \tilde{I}_\infty(r) \tilde{I}_\infty(r)^* dr$$

with

$$\tilde{I}_\infty(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2}\mathcal{W}_r^1 \\ \sqrt{2}\mathcal{W}_r^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2}\mathcal{W}_r \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

and with the identification $\mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{W}^1 \\ \mathcal{W}^2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Observe that by (4.3) the coefficients of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ are obtained by products and integration of the left hand side of (3.4) and thus are a continuous functional of the left hand side of (3.4). Using Theorem 3.3, we can conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ converges in law to this functional applied to the right hand side of (3.4), which yields (4.5). $\square$

4.2 Moments convergence of the renormalised matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$

The next proposition is a key contribution for the main result of the paper. It brings quantitative asymptotic behaviour of moments of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$. Even if the existence of these moments is well known by Malliavin calculus, obtaining uniform bounds in T requires a careful study and additional tools.

Proposition 4.2. *For all $p > 0$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that for all $T \geq \sqrt{2}$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \right\|^p \right] \leq C_p. \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \right\|^p \right] \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \mathcal{G}^{-1} \right\|^p \right] \quad (4.8)$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by (4.4).

A direct consequence of the above proposition is that the right hand side of (4.8) is finite for all $p > 0$.

As it is seen from Lemma 4.3, an important step in the proof is to show that the oscillating integrals have sub-Gaussian densities. This is given in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 below. This comes from recent results from [12] and by showing appropriate slicing of the oscillating integrals have sub-Gaussian densities. The proofs of Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 will be done in the next subsection.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. To prove (4.8), the convergence in law of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ to $\mathcal{G}$ is a key point but it is not sufficient. However it is enough to prove (4.7) with a parameter p' larger than the parameter p in (4.8). Indeed, for fixed $p \in [1, p']$ this will prove uniform integrability of the random variables $\left\| \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \right\|^p$ and convergence (4.8) for p will be a consequence of Theorem 3.5 in [11].

We then turn to prove (4.7).

Since moments of $\|\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)\|$ are uniformly bounded in T (using Lemma 3.5), Lemma 4.7 in [16] tells us that for proving (4.7), it is enough to prove that for all $p > 0$, there exists $C_p > 0$ (the constant C_p will change from one equation to another) such that for all column vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $\|a\| = 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $T > \sqrt{2}$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \leq \varepsilon \right] \leq C_p \varepsilon^p. \quad (4.9)$$

By Markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \leq \varepsilon \right] = \mathbb{P} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-1} \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq \varepsilon^p \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right]. \quad (4.10)$$

So we are left to get a uniform bound in $T \geq \sqrt{2}$ and unit vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right]$.

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)$ is a Gram matrix, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a &= \sum_{i,j=0}^2 a_i \langle \tilde{h}_i, \tilde{h}_j \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} a_j \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i \tilde{h}_i \right\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2. \end{aligned}$$

1. Let us firstly consider the case when $p \in (0, 1/2)$.

Writing $a = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \\ \sin \varphi \eta \end{pmatrix}$ with unitary $\eta = e^{-i\theta}$ we have:

$$a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a = \frac{1}{T^2} \int_0^T \left(\sqrt{T} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 dt. \quad (4.11)$$

This yields the bound below for $T \geq \sqrt{2}$

$$a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{T^2 - 1} \int_1^T \left(\sqrt{\frac{T}{t}} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 t dt$$

and then by convexity,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right] \\ &\leq 4^p \frac{2}{T^2 - 1} \int_1^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sqrt{\frac{T}{t}} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right|^{-2p} \right] t dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and the result follows from the following Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 whose proofs are postponed to Subsection 4.3.

Lemma 4.3. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\sup_{\varphi \in [0, 2\pi], a \geq 1, Y \in \mathcal{RV}_{M, \sigma}} \mathbb{E} [|a \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi Y|^{-\alpha}] \leq C(M, \sigma, \alpha) < +\infty \quad (4.13)$$

where $\mathcal{RV}_{M, \sigma}$ denotes the set of random variables Y with sub-Gaussian density bounded by:

$$p_Y(x) \leq M \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} \right).$$

Lemma 4.4. Denote for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, $t > 0$, $J(\theta, t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds$. First we denote by $J(\theta, b, t)$, the random variable obtained by conditioning $J(\theta, t)$ on $B_t = b \bmod 2\pi$. Then $J(\theta, b, t)$ has a density $x \mapsto p_{\theta, b, t}(x)$, and for all $t_0 > 0$ there exist $M(t_0) > 0$, $\sigma(t_0) > 0$ such that for all $\theta, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq t_0$,

$$p_{\theta, b, t}(x) \leq M(t_0) \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{\sigma(t_0)^2} \right\}. \quad (4.14)$$

As a consequence, $J(\theta, t)$ also has a density $x \mapsto p_{\theta, t}(x)$ which satisfies for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $t \geq t_0$

$$p_{\theta, t}(x) \leq M(t_0) \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{\sigma(t_0)^2} \right\}. \quad (4.15)$$

2. Finally we consider the general case $p > 0$.

For general $p \geq 1/2$, let $N \geq 2$ such that $N > 2p$. We write

$$a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \geq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{T^2} \int_{\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}}^{\frac{kT}{N}} \left(\sqrt{T} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 dt. \quad (4.16)$$

Using the arithmetic-geometric inequality we get

$$a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \geq \frac{N}{T^2} \prod_{k=1}^N \left(\int_{\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}}^{\frac{kT}{N}} \left(\sqrt{T} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/N}. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right] \\ & \leq N^p \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \int_{\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}}^{\frac{kT}{N}} \left(\sqrt{T} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 dt \right)^{-p/N} \right] \\ & = N^p \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{T^2} \int_{\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}}^{\frac{kT}{N}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{t_k}} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_k}} \int_0^{t_k} \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^2 t_k dt_k \right)^{-p/N} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Jensen inequality applied for each of the probability measure

$$\frac{2N^2}{T^2(k - \frac{1}{4})} t_k \mathbb{1}_{[(k-1/2)\frac{T}{N}, k\frac{T}{N}]} dt_k$$

and the Fubini theorem, one obtains the (rough) estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right] \\ & \leq N^p \int_{\frac{T}{2N}}^{\frac{T}{N}} \cdots \int_{(N-1/2)\frac{T}{N}}^T \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{4}}{2N^2} \right)^{p/N-1} \frac{t_1}{T^2} dt_1 \cdots \left(\frac{N - \frac{1}{4}}{2N^2} \right)^{p/N-1} \frac{t_N}{T^2} dt_N \\ & \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N \left(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{t_k}} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_k}} \int_0^{t_k} \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \right)^{-2p/N} \right] \\ & \leq 2^{-p} \int_{\frac{T}{2N}}^{\frac{T}{N}} \cdots \int_{(N-1/2)\frac{T}{N}}^T \frac{2N^2}{T^2(1 - \frac{1}{4})} t_1 dt_1 \cdots \frac{2N^2}{T^2(N - \frac{1}{4})} t_N dt_N \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N F_k(Y(t_k)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

with

$$Y(t_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_k}} \int_0^{t_k} \sin(\theta + B_s) ds \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$F_k(y) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{t_k}} \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi y \right)^{-2p/N}. \quad (4.19)$$

By Lemma 4.5 below,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N F_k(Y(t_k)) \right] \leq C'_N.$$

As a consequence,

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^3, \|a\|=1, T \geq 1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T) a \right)^{-p} \right] \leq C_p$$

and Proposition 4.2 follows. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T \geq 1$, $N \geq 2$. Then there exist some constants $C_N > 0$ and $\sigma_N > 0$ independent of $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \left[\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}, \frac{kT}{N} \right]$ such that the density of the vector $Y = (Y(t_1), \dots, Y(t_N))$ defined in (4.18) is bounded for $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by:*

$$f_Y(y) \leq C_N \exp \left(-\frac{\|y\|^2}{2\sigma_N^2} \right). \quad (4.20)$$

As a consequence, there exists a constant C'_N such that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\forall (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \left[\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}, \frac{kT}{N} \right], \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N F_k(Y(t_k)) \right] \leq C'_N.$$

4.3 Proofs of Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5

We now turn to the proofs of the three remaining Lemmas. We begin with showing that $J(\theta, t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(\theta + B_s) ds$ has a sub-Gaussian density, i.e. Lemma 4.4.

Proof of Lemma 4.4. We prove the result for $J(\theta, b, t)$ the conditioning of $J(\theta, t)$ on $B_t = b$. The result for $J(\theta, t)$ follows by integrating the conditional density (4.14).

The proof will be based on arguments of Bobkov [12]. It will be separated in two arguments. First, we will prove that the density $p_{\theta, b, t}$ of $J(\theta, b, t)$ is bounded. Then in a second step, we will prove the gaussian decay of the density. For simplicity we only make the proof for $t_0 = 4$.

To prove that the density is bounded, we split J in "a lot of small pieces": for $t \geq \frac{t_0}{2} = 2$, let $n = [t]$ and write

$$J(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(B_s) ds = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

with

$$X_k = \int_{k-1}^k \sin(B_s) ds \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ and } X_n = \int_{n-1}^t \sin(B_s) ds$$

and where, here, (B_s) is a real Brownian motion starting from θ . We now condition on the event $(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, B_t) = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) \bmod 2\pi$ with $b_0 = \theta, b_n = b$. Under this conditioning, the variables $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ become independent. Moreover the random variables $\int_0^r \sin(B_s^{a,b}) ds$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{S}$, $r \in [1, 2]$ and $B_s^{a,b}$ a Brownian bridge from $B_0^{a,b} = a$ to $B_r^{a,b} = b$, have densities uniformly bounded by some constant $M > 0$. Indeed, applying Hörmander Theorem to the kinetic Brownian motion, it is clear that the densities of the random vectors $\left(B_r \bmod (2\pi), \int_0^r \sin(B_s) ds \right)$ on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}$, for any starting points $a \in \mathbb{S}$, $r \in [1, 2]$, are uniformly bounded. As the densities of $B_r \bmod (2\pi)$ on $\mathbb{S}$, for $a \in \mathbb{S}$, $r \in [1, 2]$, are uniformly lower-bounded, the claim is obtained from conditional to $B_r = b \in \mathbb{S}$.

Consequently, by [12] formula (1.7), $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$ has a density bounded by $\sqrt{e}M$. Since the ratio $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{t}}$ is bounded from below, one infers that conditionally on the event, $(B_0, \dots, B_{n-1}, B_t) = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) \bmod 2\pi$, $J(\theta, t)$ has also a bounded density and with a constant independent of the value of $(b_0, \dots, b_n) \bmod 2\pi$. By integrating this bound, one deduces that

$$p_{\theta, b, t}(x) \leq M \tag{4.21}$$

for some constant M independent of $\theta, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \geq \frac{t_0}{2} = 2$.

Now to obtain the gaussian decay of the density, we will split J in only two pieces. We shall use the following direct application of Lemma 2.1 in [12]. Let X_1 and X_2 two independent random variables with bounded densities:

$$p_{X_1} \leq M_1 \text{ and } p_{X_2} \leq M_2$$

and with (sub-Gaussian) Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_1}] \leq e^{V_1(t)} = C_1 e^{\frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2}} \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_2}] \leq e^{V_2(t)} = C_2 e^{\frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}}.$$

Then Lemma 2.1 in [12] applied with $n = 2$ and $r_1 = r_2 = 2$ gives

$$p_{X_1+X_2}(x) \leq \sqrt{M_1 M_2} e^{-W^*(x)}$$

where W^* is the Legendre transform of

$$W(t) := \frac{1}{2}(V_1(2t) + V_2(2t)) = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) \frac{(2t)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log C_1 + \frac{1}{2} \log C_2.$$

Computing this Legendre transform gives:

$$p_{X_1+X_2}(x) \leq \sqrt{M_1 M_2} \sqrt{C_1 C_2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{8(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}}.$$

Let $t \geq t_0 = 4$, we thus write:

$$J(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t \sin(B_s) ds = X_1 + X_2$$

with

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{t/2} \sin(B_s) ds \text{ and } X_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{t/2}^t \sin(B_s) ds.$$

We now condition on the event $(B_0, B_{t/2}, B_t) = (b_0, b_{1/2}, b_1) \pmod{2\pi}$ with $b_0 = \theta, b_1 = b$ and $b_{1/2} \in [0, 2\pi]$. Under this conditioning, X_1 and X_2 become independent and have the same law as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} J(a, b, \frac{t}{2})$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, by (4.21), they have bounded density with a constant independent of $(b_0, b_{1/2}, b_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let us now prove that there exists $C, \sigma > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda X_j} | (B_0, B_{t/2}, B_t) = (b_0, b_{1/2}, b_1) \pmod{2\pi}] \leq C e^{\frac{\sigma^2 \lambda^2}{2}}, \quad j = 1, 2, t \geq 4, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.22)$$

By symmetry of X_j , $j = 1, 2$, it is enough to prove it for $\lambda \geq 0$. We work only for $j = 1$. By Cauchy-Schwarz and cutting again this integral in two, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [e^{\lambda X_1} | (B_0, B_{t/2}) = (b_0, b_{1/2}) \pmod{2\pi}] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{t/4} \sin(B_s) ds} | (B_0, B_{t/2}) = (b_0, b_{1/2}) \pmod{2\pi} \right]^{1/2} \\ & \times \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{t/4}^{t/2} \sin(B_s) ds} | (B_0, B_{t/2}) = (b_0, b_{1/2}) \pmod{2\pi} \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is in fact sufficient to establish (4.22) for the first term. It will then also holds for the second term by time reversibility.

Now (conditioning only on $B_0 = b_0$), by (3.5) we have for $s \in [0, t/4]$

$$\int_0^s \sin(B_r) dr = 2 \left(\sin(b_0) - \sin(B_s) + \int_0^s \cos(B_r) dB_r \right). \quad (4.23)$$

Letting $(\alpha, \gamma) = (b_0, b_{1/2}) \bmod 2\pi$ and conditioning also with respect to $B_{t/2} = \gamma \bmod 2\pi$ boils down to replacing dB_r by $d\beta_r + b(r, \gamma) dr$ with β_r a Brownian motion and $b(r, \gamma) = \nabla \ln p_S(t/2 - r, \cdot, \gamma)(B_r)$, p_S being the heat kernel on the circle. This immediately yields $|b(r, \gamma)| \leq \frac{C_2}{t/2 - r}$ for some $C_2 > 0$ independent of γ .

In particular for $0 \leq r \leq t/4$, $|b(r, \gamma)| \leq \frac{4C_2}{t}$. Then for $t \geq 1$, denoting by $(B_s^\gamma)_{0 \leq s \leq t/2}$ the Brownian motion started at α and conditioned on $B_{t/2} = \gamma \bmod 2\pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{t/4} \sin(B_s) ds} \mid (B_0, B_{t/2}) = (\alpha, \gamma) \bmod 2\pi \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\sin(\alpha) - \sin(B_{t/4}^\gamma) + \int_0^{t/4} (\cos(B_s^\gamma) d\beta_s + b(s, \gamma) ds) \right)} \right] \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t}}(4+2C_2)} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{t/4} \cos(B_s^\gamma) d\beta_s} \right] \leq e^{\lambda(4+2C_2)} e^{\lambda^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we used the fact that $\int_0^{t/4} \cos(\theta + B_s^\gamma) d\beta_s = W_{\int_0^{t/4} \cos^2(\theta + B_s^\gamma) ds}$ for some Brownian motion (W_s) , so by convexity of exponential

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{t/4} \cos(\theta + B_s^\gamma) d\beta_s} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} W_{t/4}} \right] = e^{\lambda^2/2}.$$

Thus Inequality (4.22) holds with some constants independent of $(b_0, b_{1/2}, b_1)$ and $t \geq 4$. The above version of Lemma 2.1 in [12] gives the desired gaussian decay for the conditional law of $J(\theta, b, t)$. By integration and since again, the estimate is independent of $(b_0, b_{1/2}, b_1)$, the desired gaussian decay (4.14) follows for $p_{\theta, b, t}$ itself. $\square$

To prove Lemma 4.3, we need:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Brownian motion. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a| \leq |b|$, for all $t > 0$*

$$\mathbb{E} [|b + B_t|^{-\alpha}] \leq \mathbb{E} [|a + B_t|^{-\alpha}] \leq \mathbb{E} [|B_t|^{-\alpha}]. \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Since for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} [|a + B_t|^{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{E} [|a - B_t|^{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{E} [| -a + B_t|^{-\alpha}]$$

by symmetry of the law of B_t , we can assume that $0 \leq a \leq b$. Letting

$$\tau(a, b) = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0, B_t = -\frac{b+a}{2} \right\}$$

we define, using strong Markov property, a new Brownian motion $(B'_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $B'_t = B_t$ if $t \leq \tau(a, b)$ and $B'_t = -b - a - B_t$ if $t \geq \tau(a, b)$ and consider the two Brownian motions $B'_t + a$ and $B_t + b$.


For $t \leq \tau(a, b)$ we have $B_t \geq -\frac{b+a}{2}$ implying

$$B_t + b \geq \frac{b-a}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B'_t + a = B_t + a \geq -\frac{b-a}{2}$$

which, together with $B_t + b \geq B_t + a$, yields $|B_t + b| \geq |B'_t + a|$.

For $t \geq \tau(a, b)$ we have $B'_t + a = -(B_t + b)$ implying $|B_t + b| = |B'_t + a|$. So for all $t \geq 0$, $|B_t + b| \geq |B'_t + a|$. We conclude with the equality $\mathbb{E} [|a + B_t|^{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{E} [|a + B'_t|^{-\alpha}]$. $\square$

Remark 1. Lemma 4.6 is not limited to the Gaussian measures. It holds for any translation of distributions with unimodal symmetric density. This can be shown by coupling two distributions where the second one is obtained from the first one by a translation by $b - a$. A sketch of proof is given by the picture below. The green part is translated and the red/blue part are reflected.



We can finally give the proof of Lemma 4.3.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Note that when $\sin \varphi = 1$, we only need the density of Y to be bounded and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Indeed, we directly get:

$$\mathbb{E} [|Y|^{-\alpha}] \leq 1 + \int_0^1 x^{-\alpha} M dx = 1 + \frac{M}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (4.25)$$

For the general case, as Y has a sub-Gaussian density,

$$\mathbb{E} [|a \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi Y|^{-\alpha}] \leq M \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} [|a \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi Z|^{-\alpha}] \quad (4.26)$$

with $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. From Lemma 4.6, we know that $\mathbb{E} [|a + Z|^{-\alpha}]$ is nonincreasing in $|a|$. As $a \geq 1$ in (4.26):

$$\mathbb{E} [|a \cos \varphi + \sin \varphi Y|^{-\alpha}] \leq M \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \mathbb{E} [|\cos \varphi U + \sin \varphi Z|^{-\alpha}]$$

where U has uniform law in $[-1, 1]$ and is independent of Z . Now using the inequality $\|f_{X+Y}\|_\infty \leq \min(\|f_X\|_\infty \|f_Y\|_1, \|f_Y\|_\infty \|f_X\|_1) = \min(\|f_X\|_\infty, \|f_Y\|_\infty)$ for two independent random variables X and Y with densities f_X and f_Y , we get $\|f_{\cos \varphi U + \sin \varphi Z}\|_\infty \leq \min\left(\frac{\|f_U\|_\infty}{|\cos \varphi|}, \frac{\|f_Z\|_\infty}{|\sin \varphi|}\right) \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)$ and this allows us to conclude similarly as in (4.25). $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.5. For a given $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \left[\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}, \frac{kT}{N} \right]$ and $t_0 = 0$ we also denote for $1 \leq k \leq N$

$$J_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}}} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \sin(\theta + B_s) ds.$$

Our first goal is to bound the density of the vector $J = (J_1, \dots, J_N)$. As above, we first consider the conditional density of J with respect to $B_{t_1} = b_1 \bmod 2\pi, \dots, B_{t_N} = b_N \bmod 2\pi$ for some $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $T \geq 1$, on this conditioning the coordinates of the vector J are independent and, by Inequality (4.14) of Lemma 4.4 with $t_0 = \frac{1}{2N}$, they have sub-Gaussian densities on $\mathbb{R}$, uniform with respect to $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ and $(t_1, \dots, t_N)$. As a consequence, the conditional density of the random vector J is bounded by:

$$f_{J|b \bmod (2\pi)}(x) \leq M^N \exp \left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{2\sigma^2} \right). \quad (4.27)$$

Similarly as above, since the upper bound does not depend on b , it can be integrated in b and the upper bound in (4.27) is also valid for the density of the vector J itself.

We notice now that Y is obtained as a linear transformation of J , namely

$$Y_k = Y(t_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_k}} \left(\sqrt{t_1} J_1 + \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} J_2 + \dots + \sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}} J_k \right)$$

and

$$J_k = \frac{\sqrt{t_k}}{\sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}}} Y_k - \frac{\sqrt{t_{k-1}}}{\sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}}} Y_{k-1} \delta_{k \geq 2}.$$

We write $Y = AJ$ and $J = A^{-1}Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \frac{1}{\det(A)} f_J(A^{-1}y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\det(A)} M^N \exp \left(-\frac{\|A^{-1}y\|^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the upper bound of the density of Y (4.20) holds since

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^{-1}) &= \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{t_k}}{\sqrt{t_k - t_{k-1}}} \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\sqrt{k \frac{T}{N}}}{\sqrt{(k-1/2) \frac{T}{N} - (k-1) \frac{T}{N}}} = \prod_{k=1}^N \sqrt{2k} \end{aligned}$$

and since the two norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|A^{-1} \cdot\|$ in finite dimension are equivalent with, by continuity and compactness, some constants that may be taken independently of $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N) \in \prod_{k=1}^N \left[\frac{(k-1/2)T}{N}, \frac{kT}{N} \right]$.

Now, denoting by Z a random vector with law $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_N Id_N)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N F_k(Y(t_k)) \right] &\leq C_N (2\pi\sigma_N^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^N F_k(Z_k) \right] \\ &= C_N (2\pi\sigma_N^2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E} [F_k(Z_k)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.3, this last quantity is finite. The proof of Lemma 4.5 is complete. $\square$

4.4 Application to the dual

In this last subsection, we use the preceding results to derive the long-time behaviour of the Malliavin dual.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $q \in [1, \infty)$. There exists $C_q > 0$ such that for all $T \geq \sqrt{2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, the dual δh of the solution $h = h(x, v, T)$ to Equation (2.17) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}[|\delta h|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_q \left(|v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right), \quad v = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\mathbb{R}} \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. Recall that an explicit expression of δh has been computed in Theorem 2.3 depending on the chosen flow for the kinetic Brownian motion (2.1). Our task is to find an asymptotic for δh . Following Section 3 and Proposition 4.2, we proceed with renormalisations and use the obtained bounds and convergences.

Define for $0 \leq t, s \leq 1$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_T(t, s) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s^*} \\ \sqrt{T} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} & -T e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} \left(i \int_0^t e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr \right)^* - T i \int_0^t e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_r} dr e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s^*} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.29)$$

$$\text{and } v_T := \begin{pmatrix} v_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \frac{v_{\mathbb{C}}}{\sqrt{T}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.30)$$

Then renormalising (2.35) we get $-\delta h = \tilde{N}(T) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R(-u) \end{pmatrix} v_T$ with:

$$\tilde{N}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \tilde{B}_1 \\ -i \int_0^1 e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} (\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{B}_t) dt \end{pmatrix}^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \quad (4.31)$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \int_0^t \left(\sqrt{T} i \int_0^s e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_\rho} (\rho - s) d\rho \right)^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_T(t, s) \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} ds dt \quad (4.32)$$

and thus by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in $\mathbb{R}^3$,

$$|\delta h(\omega)| \leq \|\tilde{N}(T)(\omega)\| \|v_T\|,$$

yielding

$$\mathbb{E}[|\delta h|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v_T\|. \quad (4.33)$$

Since by Proposition 4.2, the moments of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded, it is direct to see that the vector given by (4.31) admits uniformly (with respect to $T \geq \sqrt{2}$) bounded moments of order $q \geq 1$.

To deal more easily with the second vector given by (4.32), we write it as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \tilde{N}_1(T) \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \tilde{N}_2(T) \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \tilde{N}_3(T) \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1} \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_1(T) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^t s \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_T(t, s) ds dt \\ \tilde{N}_2(T) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^t \sqrt{T} \int_0^s -\sin(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_\rho)(\rho - s) d\rho \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_T(t, s) ds dt \\ \tilde{N}_3(T) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^t \sqrt{T} \int_0^s \cos(\sqrt{T} \tilde{B}_\rho)(\rho - s) d\rho \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_T(t, s) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5, all the coefficients of the matrices $\tilde{N}_1(T)$, $\tilde{N}_2(T)$ and $\tilde{N}_3(T)$ have uniformly bounded moments of any (even) order. Using again Proposition 4.2 and Hölder inequality, we get $\sup_{T \geq \sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} < +\infty$ and thus by (4.33) we can take

$$C_p = \sup_{T \geq \sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

to obtain (4.28). □

The proof of Proposition 4.7 provides in fact a constant $C_p(T) := \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for each $T \geq \sqrt{2}$. The next proposition establishes convergence of $\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, which allows us to make the estimate in Equation (4.28) precise and explicit in large time.

Proposition 4.8. *When T tends to infinity, the vector $\tilde{N}(T)$ converges in law to $\tilde{N}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N} &:= \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t \left(-\sqrt{2} \int_0^s (\rho - s) d\mathcal{W}_\rho \right)^* \mathcal{G}^{-1} \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^* \\ d(i\mathcal{W})_s & \sqrt{2} (d(i\mathcal{W})_s (\mathcal{W}_t^*) + \mathcal{W}_t (d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^*) \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}^{-1} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Moreover, for all $q \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (4.36)$$

Proof. We first show that the first term of $\tilde{N}(T)$ given in (4.31) converges in L^1 and thus in law to 0. As $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{-1}$ has bounded moments, it is enough to show that

$$\sqrt{T} \int_0^1 e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} (\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{B}_t) dt$$

has bounded moments of order 2. This ensues from the use of Itô formula: the first term $\sqrt{T} \int_0^1 e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} dt \tilde{B}_1$ is dealt in (3.5). We also apply Itô formula to the second term $\sqrt{T} \int_0^1 e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} \tilde{B}_t dt$, where an additional covariation appears:

$$\frac{-2\tilde{B}_t}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_t} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^t e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s + 2i \int_0^t e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} ds + 2i \int_0^t \tilde{B}_s e^{i\sqrt{T}\tilde{B}_s} d\tilde{B}_s.$$

Then, similarly as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, since each coefficient of (4.32) may be written as a continuous functional of some random vector processes of the form (3.4), by using Theorem 3.3, $\tilde{N}(T)$ converges in law to:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N} := \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t \left(-\sqrt{2} \int_0^s (\rho - s) d\mathcal{W}_\rho \right)^* \mathcal{G}^{-1} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & (\circ d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^* \\ \circ d(i\mathcal{W})_s & \sqrt{2} (\circ d(i\mathcal{W})_s (\mathcal{W}_t)^* + \mathcal{W}_t (\circ d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^*) \end{array} \right) \mathcal{G}^{-1} dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ is the Brownian motion appearing in $\mathcal{G}$ (Proposition 4.1) and is independent of $\tilde{B}$. Noticing that $\int_0^s (\rho - s) d\mathcal{W}_\rho = -\int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\rho d\rho$, Itô and Stratonovich integrals are here the same and (4.37) finally becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N} = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^t \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^s \mathcal{W}_\rho d\rho \right)^* \mathcal{G}^{-1} \\ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & (d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^* \\ d(i\mathcal{W})_s & \sqrt{2} (d(i\mathcal{W})_s (\mathcal{W}_t)^* + \mathcal{W}_t (d(i\mathcal{W})_s)^*) \end{array} \right) \mathcal{G}^{-1} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Since $\tilde{N}(T)$ admits moments of any order uniformly bounded in $T \geq \sqrt{2}$, using again Theorem 3.5. in [11], we then get $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}(T)\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}} = \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{N}\|^q]^{\frac{1}{q}}$. $\square$

5 Gradient estimates of the kinetic Brownian motion semigroup via Gaussian-space integration by parts

In this section we will obtain gradient estimates for the semigroup of the kinetic Brownian motion from the integration by parts formula in Proposition 2.1, by using the convergences in law obtained in Section 4.4. Our first main result is the following theorem. It is stated for the model on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ but, as already explained before, it holds with the same rates and constants for the model on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For every $p \in (1, \infty]$ there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for T large enough, $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable and bounded, $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$, $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_{\mathbb{R}} \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$,*

$$|(d_x P_T f, v)| \leq C_p (P_T |f|^p(x))^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{T^{1/4}} |v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right). \quad (5.1)$$

(in the case $p = \infty$, $(P_T |f|^p(x))^{1/p}$ should be replaced by $\|f\|_{\infty}$.)

In the next theorem we improve the horizontal gradient by using first a reflection coupling in $\mathbb{R}$ or in $\mathbb{S}$ according to the model, but we need a bound in terms of $\|f\|_{\infty}$.

Theorem 5.2. *1. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $T \geq 2\sqrt{2}$ and all bounded measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$,*

$$|\partial_u P_T f(x)| \leq C \frac{1 + \ln T}{\sqrt{T}} \|f\|_{\infty}. \quad (5.2)$$

2. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $T \geq 2\sqrt{2}$ and all bounded measurable function f on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{C}$,

$$|\partial_u P_T f(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \|f\|_{\infty}. \quad (5.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. First consider the vertical gradient, i.e. the case $v_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. Equation (5.1) for $T \geq \sqrt{2}$ is a consequence of Equation (2.6) in Proposition 2.1 and then Hölder formula:

$$\begin{aligned} |(d_x P_T f, v)| &= |\mathbb{E}[f(X_T^x) \delta h]| \\ &\leq (P_T |f|^p(x))^{1/p} \|\delta h\|_q \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where q is the conjugate of p . The proof is an immediate consequence of formula

$$\|\delta h\|_q \leq C_q \left(|v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right) \quad (5.5)$$

which has been established in Proposition 4.7.

Let us now consider the horizontal gradient, i.e. the case $v_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$. We will use Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula together with the first part of the proof. It is enough to do the computation at $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For simplicity, we will do the proof for T large enough, say $T \geq t_0$ where t_0 will be given below.

Similarly as before, we can assume that f is smooth with compact support. For $u \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the martingale

$$M_t(u) = P_{T-t} f \left(X_t^{(u,0)} \right) \quad \text{satisfying} \quad dM_t(u) = \left(d_{X_t^{(u,0)}} P_{T-t} f, \begin{pmatrix} dB_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Differentiating at $u = 0$, using the facts that $\|df\|$ and $\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|TX_s\|$ are bounded, we get that $M'_t := M'_t(0) = \frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} M_t(u)$ is a bounded martingale. Consequently, for all fixed $\lambda \in [0, T]$,

$$N_t^\lambda := \left(1 - \frac{t}{\lambda}\right) M'_t + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t M'_s ds, \quad t \in [0, \lambda] \quad (5.7)$$

is also a martingale. In this proof, we simply denote X_t^x by X_t (recall $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$). So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(d_{xT} P_T f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= M'_0 = N_0^\lambda = \mathbb{E} [N_\lambda^\lambda] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\lambda \left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, TX_t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\lambda \left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix}\right) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\lambda \left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) dt \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\lambda \left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix}\right) dt \right] \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.6) we see that I is the expectation of the covariation of the two martingales $(P_{T-t} f(X_t))_{0 \leq t \leq \lambda}$ and $(\frac{1}{\lambda} B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq \lambda}$ so that we get $I = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} B_\lambda P_{T-\lambda} f(X_\lambda) \right]$ and then

$$|I| \leq \left\| \frac{B_\lambda}{\lambda} \right\|_q \mathbb{E} [|P_{T-\lambda} f|^p(X_\lambda)]^{1/p} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|B_1\|_q (P_T(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}. \quad (5.8)$$

For II , we will use the first part. As when studying the martingales M_t and M'_t , since for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ $P_{T-t} f \left(X_t^{(0, z)} \right)$ is a martingale, we can differentiate in z and obtain that for all $v_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, TX_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is a (bounded) martingale. But since $TX_t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\left(d_{X_t} P_{T-t} f, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_{\mathbb{C}} \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is the same (bounded) martingale. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda \mathbb{E} \left[\left(d_{X_\lambda} P_{T-\lambda} f, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] i \left(\int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \right) dt \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(d_{X_\lambda} P_{T-\lambda} f, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda i \left(\int_0^t e^{iB_s} ds \right) dt \end{pmatrix}\right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(d_{X_\lambda} P_{T-\lambda} f, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \int_0^\lambda i \left(1 - \frac{s}{\lambda} \right) e^{iB_s} ds \end{pmatrix}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We additionally suppose that $T \geq 2\sqrt{2}$ and $T \geq 2\lambda$ so that $T - \lambda \geq \sqrt{2}$. Now using (5.4) and (5.5) we get

$$|II| \leq \frac{C_p}{\sqrt{T-\lambda}} \mathbb{E} [|P_{T-\lambda} f|^p(X_\lambda)]^{1/p} \left\| \int_0^\lambda \left(1 - \frac{s}{\lambda}\right) e^{iB_s} ds \right\|_q \leq \frac{C'_p \sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sqrt{T}} (P_T(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}. \quad (5.9)$$

with $C'_p = C_p \sup_{\lambda \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left\| \int_0^\lambda \left(1 - \frac{s}{\lambda}\right) e^{iB_s} ds \right\|_q$. Finally taking $\lambda = \frac{\|B_1\|_q \sqrt{T}}{C'_p \sqrt{2}}$, minimising the right hand sides of (5.9) and (5.8), we get for $T \geq t_0 := \frac{2\|B_1\|_q^2}{C_p'^2} \wedge 2\sqrt{2}$ that

$$\left(d_x P_T f, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \leq 2^{\frac{5}{4}} \frac{\sqrt{C'_p \|B_1\|_q}}{T^{1/4}} (P_T(|f|^p)(x))^{1/p}. \quad (5.10)$$

□

Remark 2. Taking conditional expectation, one can replace the dual by its conditional expectation and one also obtains the derivative formula

$$(d_x P_T f, v) = -\mathbb{E} [f(X_T^x) \mathbb{E} [\delta h(x, T, v) | X_T^x]] \quad (5.11)$$

and it is well known that it corresponds to the gradient of the logarithm of the heat kernel of the semigroup; that is:

$$-\mathbb{E} [\delta h(x, T, v) | X_T^x] = (d_x \ln p_T(\cdot, X_T^x), v).$$

As it is clear by the estimates of Proposition 4.7 and the above proof, we believe that such a procedure should improve and provide the optimal rate. Unfortunately, it is in general out of reach to compute directly the conditional expectation of the dual or the derivative of the heat kernel.

Remark 3. Similarly in the above proof, we also have $I = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} B_\lambda | X_T \right] f(X_T) \right]$.

The random vector $\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} B_\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} X_T \right)$ is very close in law to a Gaussian vector. If it was Gaussian with the same expectation and covariance, then it is easy to check that taking $\lambda = 1$, we would obtain a bound $|\mathbb{E} [B_1 | X_T]| \leq \frac{C \|X_T\|}{T}$ which would allow us to obtain an order $T^{-1/2}$ for the horizontal gradient in (5.1).

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. By rotation, it is enough to consider the horizontal derivative in $u = 0$. We will thus consider the starting points (u, z) and $(-u, z)$ with $u > 0$ small. The idea is to first perform a reflection coupling of the two driving Brownian motions until they meet. More precisely we construct $X_t^{(u,z)} = (U_t, Z_t)$ and $X_t^{(-u,z)} = (\tilde{U}_t, \tilde{Z}_t)$ with

$$\begin{cases} U_t &= u + B_t \\ \tilde{U}_t &= -u - B_t = -U_t. \end{cases}$$

We denote by τ the first time when U_t and $\tilde{U}_t$ meet. It corresponds to the hitting times of the standard Brownian motion:

$$\begin{cases} \tau &= \tau_0 &= \inf\{t \geq 0, B_t^u = 0\} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, \\ \tau &= \tau_{0,\pi} &= \inf\{t \geq 0, B_t^u = 0 \text{ or } \pi\} \text{ on } \mathbb{S}. \end{cases}$$

Here $(B_t^u)_{t \geq 0}$ denotes the standard Brownian motion starting in u . Separating the cases where they meet before the time $\frac{T}{2}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & |P_T f(u, z) - P_T f(-u, z)| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(X_T^{(u,z)}) - f(X_T^{(-u,z)}) \right) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > \frac{T}{2}\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(X_T^{(u,z)}) - f(X_T^{(-u,z)}) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \right|}_{=:A} + \underbrace{\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(f(X_T^{(u,z)}) - f(X_T^{(-u,z)}) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \right|}_{=:B}. \end{aligned}$$

We first consider the case where the coupling does not occur. A standard estimate (see [27]) gives that on $\mathbb{R}$, and thus also on $\mathbb{S}$,

$$A \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \mathbb{P} \left(\tau > \frac{T}{2} \right) \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{u}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{T/2}}. \quad (5.12)$$

We now turn to the term where the coupling occurs. First we note that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{U}_\tau = U_\tau \in \{0, \pi\} \\ Z_\tau = z + \int_0^\tau e^{i(u+B_s)} ds \\ \tilde{Z}_\tau = z + \int_0^\tau e^{-i(u+B_s)} ds \end{cases}.$$

By using the strong Markov property and with $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ the σ -field of events up to τ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\left(P_{T-\tau} f(U_\tau, Z_\tau) - P_{T-\tau} f(U_\tau, \tilde{Z}_\tau) \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| P_{T-\tau} f(U_\tau, Z_\tau) - P_{T-\tau} f(U_\tau, \tilde{Z}_\tau) \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the vertical estimate from Theorem 5.1, one infers:

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{C\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{T-\tau}} \left| Z_\tau - \tilde{Z}_\tau \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}C\|f\|_\infty}{\sqrt{T}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| Z_\tau - \tilde{Z}_\tau \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$Z_\tau - \tilde{Z}_\tau = 2i \int_0^\tau \sin(B_s^u) ds.$$

In the case of the circle $\mathbb{S}$, one has:

$$\left| Z_\tau - \tilde{Z}_\tau \right| \leq 2\tau_{0,\pi}$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| Z_\tau - \tilde{Z}_\tau \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \leq 2\mathbb{E}[\tau_{0,\pi}] = 2u(\pi - u) \leq 2\pi u$$

and the result follows in this situation by dividing by u and letting u go to 0.

In the case of $\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_0} \sin(B_s^u) ds \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_{0,\pi}} \sin(B_s^u) ds + \int_{\tau_{0,\pi}}^{\tau_0} \sin(B_s^u) ds \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} (\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{0,\pi} = \tau_0\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{0,\pi} = \tau_\pi\}}) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} [\tau_{0,\pi}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{\tau_{0,\pi}}^{\tau_0} \sin(B_s^u) ds \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{0,\pi} = \tau_\pi\}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} [\tau_{0,\pi}] + \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_0} \sin(B_s^\pi) ds \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \mathbb{P}(\tau_{0,\pi} = \tau_\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

As before, one has

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_{0,\pi}] = u(\pi - u) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\tau_{0,\pi} = \tau_\pi) = \frac{u}{\pi}.$$

By Itô formula, one has

$$\int_0^{\tau_0} \sin(B_s^\pi) ds = 2 \int_0^{\tau_0} \cos(B_s^\pi) dB_s^\pi.$$

Now, we consider $T/2$ to be in the interval $[2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ for some $k \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_0} \cos(\pi + B_s) dB_s \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}} \right] \\
&\leq 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_0} \cos(\pi + B_s) dB_s \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{2^j \leq \tau_0 \leq 2^{j+1}\}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We now claim that each term of the sum is bounded independently of j . By Cauchy-Schwarz and the Itô isometry:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_0^{\tau_0} \cos(\pi + B_s) dB_s \right| \mathbb{1}_{\{2^j \leq \tau_0 < 2^{j+1}\}} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{\tau_0 \wedge 2^{j+1}} \cos(\pi + B_s) dB_s \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \mathbb{P}(2^j \leq \tau_0 < 2^{j+1})^{1/2} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} [\tau_0 \wedge 2^{j+1}]^{1/2} \mathbb{P}(2^j \leq \tau_0 < 2^{j+1})^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, with $a = 2^{j+1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\tau_0 \wedge a] &= \mathbb{E}[\tau_0 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq a\}}] + a \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 > a) \\
&\leq \int_0^a s \frac{\pi e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2s}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} s^{3/2}} ds + a \int_a^\infty \frac{\pi e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{2s}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} s^{3/2}} ds \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^a \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds + a \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_a^\infty \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} ds \\
&\leq C\sqrt{a}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{a}{2} \leq \tau_0 \leq a\right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\frac{a}{2}}^a \frac{1}{s^{3/2}} ds \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{a}}.$$

The above claim is thus proven and therefore, for $T/2 \in [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$, one has

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_0^{\tau_0} \cos(\pi + B_s) dB_s\right| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_0 \leq \frac{T}{2}\}}\right] \leq 1 + Ck \leq 1 + C \frac{\ln T}{\ln 2}.$$

Finally, putting all the estimates together, dividing by u and letting u go to 0 gives the result in the case of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. □

We now turn to the Liouville type result for harmonic functions with respect to the operator L given in (2.3).

Theorem 5.3. *Any bounded L -harmonic function on $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ or on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is constant.*

Proof. We do only the proof for $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The other case is similar. Let f be a bounded function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $Lf = 0$. We thus have $P_t f = f$. Then, from Theorem 5.2, f is constant since:

$$|(d_x f, v)| = |(d_x P_T f, v)| \leq C \|f\|_\infty \left(\frac{1 + \ln(T)}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{R}}| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} |v_{\mathbb{C}}| \right) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

□

Acknowledgments We want to sincerely thank the editor and the three reviewers for their handling of the review, and their detailed and thoughtful comments and suggestions which have significantly improved the article.

References

- [1] Jürgen Angst, Ismaël Bailleul, and Camille Tardif. Kinetic Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds. Electron. J. Probab., 20:no. 110, 40, 2015.
- [2] Marc Arnaudon, Magalie Bénéfice, Michel Bonnefont, and Delphine Féral. A coupling strategy for Brownian motions at fixed time on Carnot groups using Legendre expansion. Electron. J. Probab., 30:Paper No. 75, 32, 2025.
- [3] Marc Arnaudon and Anton Thalmaier. The differentiation of hypoelliptic diffusion semigroups. Illinois J. Math., 54(4):1285–1311, 2010.
- [4] Sayan Banerjee, Maria Gordina, and Phanuel Mariano. Coupling in the Heisenberg group and its applications to gradient estimates. Ann. Probab., 46(6):3275–3312, 2018.

- [5] Sayan Banerjee and Wilfrid S. Kendall. Coupling the Kolmogorov diffusion: maximality and efficiency considerations. Adv. in Appl. Probab., 48(A):15–35, 2016.
- [6] Fabrice Baudoin, Maria Gordina, and Phanuel Mariano. Gradient bounds for Kolmogorov type diffusions. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 56(1):612–636, 2020.
- [7] Fabrice Baudoin and Camille Tardif. Hypocoercive estimates on foliations and velocity spherical Brownian motion. Kinet. Relat. Models, 11(1):1–23, 2018.
- [8] Gérard Ben Arous, Michael Cranston, and Wilfrid S. Kendall. Coupling constructions for hypoelliptic diffusions: two examples. In Stochastic analysis (Ithaca, NY, 1993), volume 57 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 193–212. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [9] Magalie Bénéfice. Non co-adapted couplings of Brownian motions on sub-Riemannian manifolds. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.14512>, 2023. .
- [10] Magalie Bénéfice. Non co-adapted couplings of Brownian motions on free, step 2 Carnot groups. ESAIM Probab. Stat., 29:324–356, 2025.
- [11] Patrick Billingsley. Convergence of probability measures. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [12] Sergey G. Bobkov. Decay of convolved densities via Laplace transform. Ann. Probab., 51(5):1603–1615, 2023.
- [13] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, S. Menozzi, A. Pesce, and X. Zhang. Heat kernel and gradient estimates for kinetic SDEs with low regularity coefficients. Bull. Sci. Math., 183:Paper No. 103229, 56, 2023.
- [14] Johann Gehring and Xue-Mei Li. Rough homogenisation with fractional dynamics. In Geometry and invariance in stochastic dynamics, volume 378 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., pages 137–168. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [15] Arnaud Guillin and Feng-Yu Wang. Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations: Bismut formula, gradient estimate and Harnack inequality. J. Differential Equations, 253(1):20–40, 2012.
- [16] Martin Hairer. On Malliavin’s proof of Hörmander’s theorem. Bull. Sci. Math., 135(6-7):650–666, 2011.
- [17] Martin Hairer and Xue-Mei Li. Averaging dynamics driven by fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 48(4):1826–1860, 2020.
- [18] Kiyosi Itô and Makiko Nisio. On the convergence of sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. Osaka Journal of Mathematics, 5(1):35 – 48, 1968.
- [19] Wilfrid S. Kendall. Coupling all the Lévy stochastic areas of multidimensional Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 35(3):935–953, 2007.

- [20] Wilfrid S. Kendall. Coupling time distribution asymptotics for some couplings of the Lévy stochastic area. In Probability and mathematical genetics, volume 378 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 446–463. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [21] Martin Kolb, Tobias Weich, and Lasse L. Wolf. Spectral asymptotics for kinetic Brownian motion on surfaces of constant curvature. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 23(4):1283–1296, 2022.
- [22] Shigeo Kusuoka. Malliavin calculus revisited. J. Math. Sci., Tokyo, 10(2):261–277, 2003.
- [23] Xue-Mei Li. An averaging principle for a completely integrable stochastic Hamiltonian system. Nonlinearity, 21(4):803–822, 2008.
- [24] Xue-Mei Li. Homogenisation on homogeneous spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 70(2):519–572, 2018. With an appendix by Dmitriy Rumynin.
- [25] Xue-Mei Li. Perturbation of conservation laws and averaging on manifolds. In Computation and combinatorics in dynamics, stochastics and control, volume 13 of Abel Symp., pages 499–550. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [26] Xue-Mei Li and Julian Sieber. Slow-fast systems with fractional environment and dynamics. Ann. Appl. Probab., 32(5):3964–4003, 2022.
- [27] Torgny Lindvall and L. C. G. Rogers. Coupling of multidimensional diffusions by reflection. Ann. Probab., 14(3):860–872, 1986.
- [28] Liangbing Luo and Robert W. Neel. Non-Markovian maximal couplings and a vertical reflection principle on a class of sub-Riemannian manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 378(11):8071–8119, 2025.
- [29] Pierre Perruchaud. Small time expansion for a strictly hypoelliptic kernel. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.06904>, 2023.
- [30] Qiuyu Ren and Zhongkai Tao. Spectral asymptotics for kinetic Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds. Math. Ann., 393(1):1175–1194, 2025.
- [31] Ali Süleyman Üstünel. Martingale representation for degenerate diffusions. J. Funct. Anal., 276(11):3468–3483, 2019.
- [32] Ali Süleyman Üstünel. Malliavin calculus for degenerate diffusions, 2024.
- [33] Feng-Yu Wang. Derivative formula and gradient estimates for Grushin type semigroups. J. Theor. Probab., 27(1):80–95, 2014.
- [34] Feng-Yu Wang and Xi-Cheng Zhang. Derivative formula and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 99(6):726–740, 2013.
- [35] W. A. Zheng. Tightness results for laws of diffusion processes application to stochastic mechanics. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 21(2):103–124, 1985.